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ABSTRACT<br>Synthesis and Evaluation of $\mathrm{N}^{6}, 5^{\prime}$-Bis-ureido-5'-amino-5'-deoxyadenosine<br>Derivatives: Novel Nucleosides with Antiproliferative<br>and Protein Kinase Binding Activities<br>Marcélio de Moura Oliveira<br>Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry<br>Master of Science

A new series of $\mathrm{N}^{6}, 5^{\prime}$-bis-ureido- $5^{\prime}$-amino- $5^{\prime}$-deoxyadenosine derivatives was prepared and evaluated for anticancer activities using the NCI 60 panel of human cancers. Certain of the derivatives showed promising activities (low micromolar $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ 's) against several of the representative cancers. These included cell lines from the following general cell types in the NCI 60: Leukemia, Breast, Central Nervous System, Non-Small Cell Lung, Ovarian, Prostate, Renal, and Colon cancers. Select compounds were also screened for their affinities for protein kinases. The synthesis of the compounds was straightforward and involved $\mathrm{N}^{6}$ acylation with arylisocyanates, preceded by activation and nucleophilic substitution of the $5^{\prime}$-position to give the desired $5^{\prime}$-azido- $5^{\prime}$-deoxyadenosine derivatives. Reduction of the $5^{\prime}$-azido function with either $\mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$, or $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, gave the desired $5^{\prime}$ -amino-5'-deoxyadenosine products. Acylation of the $5^{\prime}$-amino group with N -methyl 4nitrophenylcarbamate gave the $\mathrm{N}^{6}, 5^{\prime}$-bis-ureido- $5^{\prime}$-amino- $5^{\prime}$-deoxyadenosine products. Yields ranged from good (50-75\%) to excellent (75-95\%) for all synthetic transformations.
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## Introduction

Protein kinases constitute a large family of homologous proteins involved with control of such key cellular processes as cell growth and differentiation, apoptosis, and signal transduction. Protein kinases catalyze phosphorylation of hydroxyl groups of serine, threonine, or tyrosine in a substrate protein. This phosphorylation is executed with transfer of the gamma phosphate group of ATP or GTP to the substrate protein. The phosphorylated protein then undergoes a series of binding events with downstream proteins, thus setting in motion the cascade of reactions typically involved in signal transduction. Signal transduction processes are extremely complex, with numerous instances of pathway "cross talk" and/or reversal of signal outcome that have been documented. The key role played by protein kinases in cell growth and differentiation has prompted their investigation as promising targets for anticancer drug design. ${ }^{1}$ The high incidence of these enzymes in cancer cells corroborates their importance as interesting targets in cancer treatment and the correlation between their aberrant expression and tumorigenesis has been well documented. ${ }^{2}$ In recent years, protein kinases have emerged as one of the most important targets for drug development research, and it is estimated that approximately $25 \%$ of all pharmaceutical research focuses on protein kinases. ${ }^{3}$ Studies show that approximately $90 \%$ of patients with chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) exhibit a chromosomal defect that results in a hyper expression of certain kinases. ${ }^{4}$ In addition, chemotherapy that targets protein kinases shows efficiency of about $90 \%$ when focused on inhibition of these enzymes. ${ }^{5}$

Some recently developed drugs that have been approved for cancer treatment that function as protein kinase inhibitors include Imatinib Mesilate (in chronic myeloid
leukemia) $;{ }^{6}$ Gefitinib (in non-small cell lung cancer) $;{ }^{7}$ and Erlotinib (in non-small cell lung cancer) (Figure 1). ${ }^{8}$

Figure 1. Structures of cancer-related protein kinase inhibitors Imatinib, Gefitinib and Erlotinib.


Among the various approaches to discovery of protein kinase inhibitors that have been evaluated to date, targeting the catalytic site with ATP-competitive inhibitors has proven most successful. ${ }^{9}$ Other approaches involving non-competitive or allosteric inhibitors have met with much more limited success. ${ }^{6}$ To date, over 50 crystal structures of protein kinases complexed with ATP site-directed inhibitors are now available, thus confirming the effectiveness of the ATP-binding site as a target for drug design.

The currently accepted pharmacophore model for the ATP-binding pocket of protein kinases is illustrated in Figure 2. This model consists of the following key features:
(1) Adenine binding region. This region consists of a bidentate hydrogen-bonding donor-acceptor motif in the hinge region. Hydrogen bonds between the protein backbone and N1 and N6 occur between a hinge region amide NH and carbonyl moiety, respectively. These interactions constitute the adenine anchoring interactions and many potent inhibitors exploit at least one of these hydrogen bond interactions.
(2) Hydrophobic pocket. This hydrophobic region flanks the region proximal to N6 of the adenine heterocycle. This pocket has been exploited by numerous inhibitors and plays an important role for inhibitor selectivity.
(3) Phosphate binding pocket. This pocket consists of positively charged amino acid residues such as lysine and/or arginine. Electrostatic interactions between the negatively charged phosphate and positively charged amino acid side chains occur in this region. This pocket appears to be the least important due to high solvent exposure, but it has been used to improve selectivity and gain additional binding affinity.
(4) Sugar binding region. This region, with very few exceptions, is hydrophilic and is designed to bind to the ribose hydroxyls through hydrogen-bond donor-acceptor interactions.
(5) Hyrophobic channel. This channel lies between the sugar binding and hinge regions and can exist in an open, solvent exposed, configuration. Since it is not utilized by ATP, it can be exploited to gain inhibitor binding affinity.

Figure 2. Pharmacophore model of the ATP-binding site of protein kinases. ${ }^{9}$


## Background

Recently, $N^{6}, 5^{\prime}$-bis-ureidoadenosine compounds $\mathbf{1 - 7}$ were synthesized by Peterson et al. and select members of these compounds showed interesting cytotoxic properties against MT2 lymphoma cells in vitro (Figure 3). ${ }^{10}$

Figure 3. Potential HIV integrase inhibitors prepared by Peterson et al.
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The original motivation for preparing these compounds was their potential utility as HIV integrase inhbitors. HIV integrase is one of three enzymes encoded for by HIV. These include reverse transcriptase, protease, and integrase. HIV integrase possesses two enzymatic activities: (1) $3^{\prime}$-end processing, and (2) strand transfer. Each activity involves an $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ - promoted chemical reaction in which the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ and associated amino acid residues are in close proximity to the $3^{\prime}$-hydroxyl of a $2^{\prime}$-deoxyadenosine residue of the viral DNA substrate (Figures 4 and 5).

Figure 4. 3 '-End processing of viral DNA by HIV integrase.


Figure 5. Strand transfer of viral DNA by HIV integrase.


Peterson et al. reasoned that appropriately derivatized adenosine analogues with metalbinding moieties attached to the $3^{\prime}$-position might be expected to bind to the active site of HIV integrase and thus inhibit the enzyme (Figure 6). Unfortunately, compounds 1-7 were devoid of anti-HIV activity and failed to exhibit measurable inhibition of HIV integrase at the concentrations tested (Table 1).

Figure 6. Putative binding of adenosine derivatives in the active site of HIV integrase.


Table 1. Activities of test compounds in biochemical assays

|  |  |  | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}{ }^{d}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compd | $\mathrm{ED}_{50}{ }^{a}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | $\mathrm{CT}_{50}{ }^{b}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | $\mathrm{CT}_{5}{ }^{c}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | $\mathrm{EP}^{e}$ | $\mathrm{ST}^{f}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $>13$ | 37.8 | 6.2 | $>10$ | $>10$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $>17$ | 22.6 | 11.3 | $>10$ | $>10$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $>34$ | 58.5 | 23.2 | $>10$ | $>10$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $>19$ | 21.9 | 9.3 | $>10$ | $>10$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $>98$ | 385 | 143 | $>10$ | $>10$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $>149$ | 812 | 162 | $>10$ | $>10$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $>62$ | 175 | 21 | $>10$ | $>10$ |

${ }^{a}$ Inhibitory concentration required to protect MT-2 cells from $50 \%$ viral induced cell death. ${ }^{b}$ Cytotoxic concentration required to inhibit cell growth by $50 \%$.
${ }^{c}$ Cytotoxic concentration required to inhibit cell growth by $5 \%$.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Inhibitory concentration required to inhibit IN 3 '-end processing (EP) or strand transfer (ST) by $50 \%$.
${ }^{e} 3^{\prime}$-End processing.
${ }^{f}$ Strand transfer.
The interesting cytotoxicities of compounds 1-4 prompted our evaluation of these compounds in the NCI 60 human cancer screen. The NCI 60 human cancer screen is a free service offered by the US National Cancer Institute as a rapid means of screening potential anti-cancer agents as a service to the public. The assay consists of colorimetric
determination of total cell count based on a sulphorhodamine-red protein assay. ${ }^{11}$ The screening process is a two-tiered process involving a rapid single dose assay (performed at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ compound concentration), followed by more extensive multi-dose testing. The results for the single dose assay for compounds $\mathbf{1 - 4}$ are illustrated in Table 2. Compounds 1 and 2 showed promising antiproliferative activities against most of the leukemias, and several other cell lines were also of interest. Importantly, COLO 205 was inhibited by nearly $100 \%$ in the single dose assay by compound 2 . Compounds 3 and 4 were much less active, suggesting that perhaps the lactone moiety is saponified to the free carboxylic acid in the assay conditions and may not be able to traverse the cell member to interact with their supposed intracellular target(s). The promising activities of compounds $\mathbf{1 - 2}$ in the single dose assay assured their candidacy for the multi-dose screen. The results from these assays are shown in Tables 3 and 4.

Compounds 1 and 2 showed low $\mu \mathrm{M}$ inhibition of all six leukemias tested (Tables 3 and 4). A significant number of cell lines from the other subclasses were also inhibited at the low $\mu \mathrm{M}$ level. Compound 2 appeared to be somewhat more toxic than compound $\mathbf{1}$ toward the leukemia cell lines as evidenced by $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ values for 2 ranging from 9.43-59.0 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ for leukemias SR, HL-60(TB), and RPMI-8226; in contrast to the $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ values for compound $\mathbf{1}$ which were $>100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for all leukemias except RPMI-8226. $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ values for compounds 1 and 2 were identical for leukemia RPMI-8226 (i.e., $59.0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). Compound $\mathbf{1}$ was more toxic than 2 ( 16 cell lines showed $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ values $<100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for $\mathbf{1}$ while only three cell lines showed the same sensitivity for compound 2 ). A majority of cell lines showed $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ values > $100.0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for compound 2. Compound $\mathbf{1}$ showed greater efficacy in cell growth inhibition than compound 2. For example, a total of 35 cell lines had $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$

Table 2. Results of Single Dose Growth Inhibition Assay (GI Percent at $10 \mu \mathrm{M})^{a}$

| Cell Line | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | Cell Line | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leukemia |  |  |  |  | CNS Cancer |  |  |  |  |
| CCRF-CEM | 50 | - | 92 | 94 | SF-268 | 59 | 33 | 103 | 100 |
| HL-60(TB) | 45 | -33 | 87 | 83 | SF-295 | 16 | -41 | 100 | 103 |
| K-562 | 59 | 16 | 93 | 81 | SF-539 | 53 | 0 | 98 | 104 |
| MOLT-4 | 50 | -11 | 85 | 70 | SNB-19 | 94 | 37 | 106 | 103 |
| RPMI-8226 | 11 | -75 | 98 | 105 | SNB-75 | 63 | -7 | 94 | 97 |
| SR | 28 | -56 | 90 | 90 | U251 | 34 | -15 | 110 | 96 |
| Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer |  |  | Ovarian Cancer |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A549/ATCC | 22 | 2 | 95 | 120 | IGROV1 | 51 | -37 | 91 | 92 |
| EKVX | 64 | 21 | 103 | 102 | OVCAR-3 | 80 | 8 | 95 | 92 |
| HOP-62 | 79 | 60 | 98 | 94 | OVCAR-4 | 61 | 19 | 106 | 106 |
| HOP-92 | 63 | -34 | 87 | 71 | OVCAR-5 | 100 | 44 | 96 | 97 |
| NCI-H226 | 76 | 41 | 106 | 99 | OVCAR-8 | 65 | 20 | 103 | 96 |
| NCI-H23 | 92 | 48 | 105 | 90 | SK-OV-3 | 94 | 41 | 106 | 102 |
| NCI-H322M | 91 | 79 | 100 | 101 | Renal Cancer |  |  |  |  |
| NCI-H460 | 48 | 18 | 112 | 114 | 786-0 | 76 | 48 | 105 | 98 |
| NCI-H522 | 80 | 66 | 107 | 106 | A498 | 71 | 46 | 100 | 94 |
| Colon Cancer |  |  |  |  | ACHN | 69 | 12 | 101 | 95 |
| COLO 205 | 43 | -100 | 104 | 110 | CAKI-1 | 82 | 43 | 101 | 100 |
| HCC-2998 | 62 | -13 | 106 | 93 | RXF393 | -45 | -68 | -29 | -23 |
| HCT-116 | 17 | 17 | 100 | 98 | SN12C | 61 | 17 | 118 | 113 |
| HCT-15 | 56 | 12 | 91 | 92 | TK-10 | 44 | 7 | 101 | 99 |
| HT29 | 27 | -26 | 109 | 110 | UO-31 | 57 | -7 | 79 | 64 |
| KM12 | 43 | 17 | 106 | 106 | Breast Cancer |  |  |  |  |
| SW620 | 71 | 24 | 105 | 106 | BT-549 | 82 | 26 | 104 | 100 |
| Melanoma |  |  |  |  | HS578T | 44 | 4 | 111 | 105 |
| LOXIMVI | 50 | 19 | 93 | 63 | MCF7 | 18 | 3 | 92 | 98 |
| MALME-3M | 55 | 12 | 97 | 100 | MDA-MB-231/ATCC | 51 | 15 | 123 | 99 |
| M14 | 67 | 34 | 107 | 105 | MDA-MB-435 | 54 | 8 | 109 | 102 |
| SK-MEL-2 | 54 | -4 | 91 | 70 | NCI/ADR-RES | 86 | 54 | 102 | 97 |
| SK-MEL-28 | 84 | 8 | 118 | 107 | T-47D | 27 | -34 | 103 | 92 |
| SK-MEL-5 | 58 | 26 | 106 | 110 | Prostate Cancer |  |  |  |  |
| UACC-557 | 88 | 6 | 122 | 125 | DU-145 | 63 | 25 | 109 | 110 |
| UACC-62 | 80 | 34 | 107 | 99 | PC-3 | 47 | -21 | 97 | 100 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Growth inhibition percent calculated as:
$\left.\left[\left(T_{i}-T_{z}\right) / C-T_{z}\right)\right] \times 100$ for $T_{i} T_{z}$
$\left.\left[\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{z}}\right) / \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{z}}\right)\right] \mathrm{X} 100$ for $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}<\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{z}}$
Where $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{z}}=$ absorbance at $\mathrm{t}=0 ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ absorbance at $\mathrm{t}=48 \mathrm{~h}$ (10 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ test compound);
$\mathrm{C}=$ absorbance of control at $\mathrm{t}=48 \mathrm{~h}$

Table 3. Results of Multi-Dose Growth Inhibition Assay for compound $1(\mu \mathrm{M})^{a}$

| Cell Line | $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ | TGI | $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ | Cell Line | $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ | TGI | $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leukemia |  |  |  | CNS Cancer |  |  |  |
| CCRF-CEM | 6.69 | 88.6 | >100.0 | SF-268 | 6.53 | 27.0 | 92.5 |
| HL-60(TB) | 3.01 |  | >100.0 | SF-295 | 5.73 | $>100.0$ | $>100.0$ |
| K-562 | 3.59 | 32.9 | >100.0 | SF-539 | 5.19 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
| MOLT-4 | 2.39 | 23.3 | >100.0 | SNB-19 | 29.0 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
| RPMI-8226 | 1.09 | 4.57 | 59.0 | SNB-75 | 4.56 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
| SR | 2.23 | 7.07 | >100.0 | U251 | 4.69 | 20.9 | 76.0 |
| Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer |  |  |  | Ovarian Cancer 385 |  |  |  |
| A549/ATCC | 4.18 | 19.2 | 79.1 |  |  |  |  |
| EKVX | 17.7 | >100.0 | >100.0 | OVCAR-3 | 4.59 | 17.2 | 91.3 |
| HOP-62 | 8.96 | 26.4 | 73.1 | OVCAR-4 | 1.23 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
| HOP-92 | <0.01 |  | 41.2 | OVCAR-5 | 31.1 | >100.0 | $>100.0$ |
| NCI-H226 | >109 | >100.0 | >100.0 | OVCAR-8 | 4.92 | 77.2 | $>100.0$ |
| $\mathrm{NCI}-\mathrm{H} 23$ | 33.3 | >100.0 | >100.0 | SK-OV-3 | 21.0 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
| $\mathrm{NCI}-\mathrm{H} 322 \mathrm{M}$ | $>100.0$ | $>100.0$ | $>100.0$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{NCl}-\mathrm{H} 460$ | 5.54 | 2.5.0 | $>100.0$ | Renal Cancer |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{NCI}-\mathrm{H} 522$ | 4.36 | 85.7 | >100.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 786-0 \\ & \text { A498 } \end{aligned}$ | 2.00 3.34 | 5.21 17.1 | $\begin{gathered} 17.9 \\ >100.0 \end{gathered}$ |
| Colon Cancer |  |  |  | ACHN | 8.55 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
| COLO 205 | 3.84 | >100.0 | >100.0 | CAKI-1 | 29.7 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
| HCC-2998 | $>100.0$ | >100.0 | $>100.0$ | RXF393 | 2.01 | 4.63 | 19.5 |
| HCT-116 | 3.20 | 16.1 | 45.6 | SN12C | 9.10 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
| HCT-15 | 8.50 | $>100.0$ | $>100.0$ | TK-10 | 12.4 | 40.5 | >100.0 |
| HT29 | 4.20 | $>100.0$ | $>100.0$ | UO-31 | 12.1 | 29.5 | 71.7 |
| KM12 | 3.95 | 20.9 | >100.0 | Breast Cancer 12.1 |  |  |  |
| SW620 | 4.80 | 28.4 | >100.0 | MCF7 | 3.42 | 45.1 | >100.0 |
| Melanoma |  |  |  | NCI/ADR-RES | >100.0 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
| LOX IMVI | 5.46 | >100.0 | >100.0 | MDA-MB-231/ATCC | 3.96 | 41.3 | >100.0 |
| MALME-3M | 10.3 | >100.0 | >100.0 | HS578T | 3.60 | 53.6 | >100.0 |
| M14 | 2.51 | 11.6 | 7.86 | MDA-MB-435 | 6.21 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
| SK-MEL-2 | 5.42 | 33.1 | >100.0 | BT-549 | >100.0 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
| SK-MEL-28 | 6.85 | 20.2 | 48.7 | T-47D | 2.55 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
| SK-MEL-5 | 4.34 | >100.0 | >100.0 | Prostate Cancer |  |  |  |
| UACC-257 | 5.68 | >100.0 | >100.0 | PC-3 | 2.25 | 4.85 | 12.5 |
| UACC-62 | >100.0 | >100.0 | >100.0 | DU-145 | 4.97 | 19.4 | 78.4 |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{GI}_{50}=$ concentration at which cell growth is inhibited by $50 \% ; \mathrm{TGI}=$ concentration required to achieve total growth inhibition; $\mathrm{LC}_{50}=$ concentration required to achieve $50 \%$ reduction in measured protein after 48 h test period. TGI signifies a cytostatic effec; $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ signifies a cytotoxic effect.
$\left.\left[\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{Z}}\right) / \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{z}}\right)\right] \mathrm{X} 100=50$ for $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{z}}$ for TGI
$\left.\left[\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{Z}}\right) / \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{z}}\right)\right] \mathrm{X} 100=-50$ for $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$
Where $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{z}}=$ absorbance at $\mathrm{t}=0 ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ absorbance at $\mathrm{t}=48 \mathrm{~h}$;
$\mathrm{C}=$ absorbance of control at $\mathrm{t}=48 \mathrm{~h}$

Table 4. Results of Multi-Dose Growth Inhibition Assay for compound $2(\mu \mathrm{M})^{a}$

| Cell Line | $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ | TGI | $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ | Cell Line | $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ | TGI | $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leukemia |  |  |  | CNS Cancer |  |  |  |
| CCRF-CEM | 6.37 | >100.0 | >100.0 | SF-268 | 8.29 | $>100.0$ | >100.0 |
| HL-60(TB) | 1.81 | 4.34 | 16.4 | SF-295 | 9.09 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
| K-562 | 3.12 | >100.0 | >100.0 | SF-539 | 22.3 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
| MOLT-4 | 2.23 | 2.23 | >100.0 | SNB-19 | >100.0 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
| RPMI-8226 | 1.58 | 1.58 | 59.0 | SNB-75 | 12.7 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
| SR | 1.27 | 1.27 | 9.43 | U251 | 5.66 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
| Non-Small Cell | Il Lung C | ancer |  | Ovarian Cancer |  |  |  |
| A549/ATCC | 9.35 | >100.0 | >100.0 | IGROV1 | 3.72 | 65.7 | >100.0 |
| EKVX | 26.4 | >100.0 | >100.0 | OVCAR-3 | 7.11 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
| HOP-62 | 24.9 | >100.0 | >100.0 | OVCAR-4 | 53.0 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
| HOP-92 | 2.71 | 24.3 | >100.0 | OVCAR-5 | 38.2 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
| $\mathrm{NCI}-\mathrm{H} 226$ | 41.9 | >100.0 | >100.0 | OVCAR-8 | 9.02 | $>100.0$ | $>100.0$ |
| $\mathrm{NCI}-\mathrm{H} 23$ | >100.0 | >100.0 | $>100.0$ | SK-OV-3 | 52.7 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
| NCI-H322M | $>100.0$ | $>100.0$ | $>100.0$ |  |  |  |  |
| NCI-H460 | 7.49 | $>100.0$ | $>100.0$ | Renal Cancer |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{NCI}-\mathrm{H} 522$ | 11.1 | >100.0 | >100.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 786-0 \\ & \text { A498 } \end{aligned}$ | 9.01 3.87 | $\begin{gathered} >100.0 \\ 40.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & >100.0 \\ & >100.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Colon Cancer |  |  |  | ACHN | 14.4 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
| COLO 205 | 12.3 | >100.0 | >100.0 | CAKI-1 | 53.8 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
| HCC-2998 | 30.6 | $>100.0$ | $>100.0$ | RXF393 | 9.74 | 38.0 | >100.0 |
| HCT-116 | 4.20 | $>100.0$ | $>100.0$ | SN12C | 85.3 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
| HCT-15 | 6.47 | $>100.0$ | >100.0 | TK-10 | 20.5 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
| HT29 | 5.37 | >100.0 | >100.0 | UO-31 | 7.79 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
| KM12 | 23.9 | >100.0 | >100.0 | Breast Cancer | 7.79 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
| SW620 | >100.0 | >100.0 | >100.0 | MCF7 | 5.59 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
| Melanoma |  |  |  | NCI/ADR-RES | >100.0 | $>100.0$ | >100.0 |
| LOX IMVI | 7.30 | >100.0 | >100.0 | MDA-MB-231/ATCC | 12.3 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
| MALME-3M | 14.1 | >100.0 | >100.0 | HS578T | 5.79 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
| M14 | 15.2 | >100.0 | >100.0 | MDA-MB-435 | 10.9 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
| SK-MEL-2 | 14.9 | 83.1 | >100.0 | BT-549 | 29.0 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
| SK-MEL-28 | 7.77 | >100.0 | >100.0 | T-47D | 13.9 | >100.0 | >100.0 |
| SK-MEL-5 | 5.81 | >100.0 | >100.0 | Prostate Cancer |  |  |  |
| UACC-257 | 22.6 | >100.0 | >100.0 | PC-3 |  |  |  |
| UACC-62 | 41.9 | >100.0 | >100.0 | DU-145 | 1.66 | >100.0 | >100.0 |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{GI}_{50}=$ concentration at which cell growth is inhibited by $50 \%$; TGI $=$ concentration required to achieve total growth inhibition; $\mathrm{LC}_{50}=$ concentration required to achieve $50 \%$ reduction in measured protein after 48 h test period. TGI signifies a cytostatic effec; $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ signifies a cytotoxic effect.
$\left.\left[\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{Z}}\right) / \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{z}}\right)\right] \mathrm{X} 100=50$ for $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{z}}$ for TGI
$\left.\left[\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{Z}}\right) / \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{z}}\right)\right] \mathrm{X} 100=-50$ for $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$
Where $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{z}}=$ absorbance at $\mathrm{t}=0 ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ absorbance at $\mathrm{t}=48 \mathrm{~h}$;
$\mathrm{C}=$ absorbance of control at $\mathrm{t}=48 \mathrm{~h}$
values $\leq 6 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for $\mathbf{1}$, whereas only 14 cell lines were inhibited at similar levels for compound 2.

A COMPARE ${ }^{12}$ analysis of the $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ data for compound 1 suggested that protein kinases might be molecular targets for this type of compound. Kinases for which there was significant correlation between kinase expression and cytotoxicity of compound $\mathbf{1}$ included EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB3, PTK2, and PTK6, each of which has been implicated in cancer.

To verify actual binding of compound $\mathbf{1}$ to cancer- and other disease-related protein kinases, compound $\mathbf{1}$ was screened against a commercially available panel of protein kinases (KinomeScan ${ }^{\text {TM }}$, Ambit Biosciences). ${ }^{13}$ The KinomeScan ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ assay is a competitive binding assay based on phage-display of protein kinases and immobilized ATP-binding site ligands. ${ }^{14}$ Binding of protein kinases expressed on the surface of bacteriaphage T7 to immobilized ATP-binding site ligands was inhibited by compound $\mathbf{1}$ for 11 of the 353 protein kinases evaluated. ${ }^{15}$ Binding inhibition for these 11 kinases was $\geq 30 \%$ while a majority of the kinases were unaffected by $\mathbf{1}$ showing binding inhibitions of $\leq 10 \%$ (Figure 7).

Figure 7. Inhibition of binding of protein kinases to ATP-binding site ligands by 1.


Selective inhibition of protein kinases is a desirable property and suggests that compound $1 \mathrm{and} /$ or derivatives may have considerable potential in therapeutic applications. ${ }^{16}$ Kinases for which binding was inhibited by $\geq 30 \%$ included EGFR, TYK2, FLT3, CSNK2A2, PAK3, MARK3, BTK, IKK- $\alpha$, CSNK1G2, RPS6KA1, and BMPR1B, each of which has been implicated in various forms of cancer (Figure 8).

Figure 8. Binding inhibition $\geq 30 \%$ observed in 11 of 353 protein kinases.


Binding inhibition was greatest for BMPR1B (or ALK6), a protein kinase recently implicated in estrogen receptor positive breast cancer. ${ }^{17}$ The relatively pronounced inhibition of binding of ALK6 compared to other members of the ALK family of protein kinases suggests that $\mathbf{1}$ might be a useful probe for elucidating the role played by ALK6 in BMP-mediated signaling (Figure 9). ${ }^{18}$ Selective inhibition of binding was also observed for other protein kinase families (e.g.; p38 and PAK kinase families, Figures 10 and 11).

Figure 9. Selective inhibition binding of Alk6 by compound 1.


Figure 10. Selective inhibition of binding to p38 protein kinases by compound 1.


Figure 11. Selective inhibition of binding to PAK protein kinases by compound 1.


Compound $\mathbf{1}$ was also screened for its ability to inhibit a panel of cancer-related protein kinases (Figure 12). Activities for several of the protein kinases were modestly enhanced at $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ compound concentration and two of the kinases (FMS and PAK4) were inhibited. The assay employed was based on inhibition of phosphorylation of an unnatural protein substrate in the presence of radio-labeled ATP. While this assay has been validated as a means of discovering protein kinase inhibitors in vitro, the relevance of the results obtained with an unnatural protein substrate remains somewhat in question. The modest inhibition of PAK4 and FMS in vitro therefore may not be particularly relevant in a biological context.

Figure 12. Inhibition of cancer-related protein kinases by compound $1(20 \mu \mathrm{M})$.




## Results and Discussion

In order to explore the structural features required for anti-proliferative activity, we sought to prepare a series of compounds that would probe the importance of substituents in the SE and SW quadrants of compound 1 (Figure 13).

Figure 13. Quadrants for SAR of compound 1.


Based on the assumption that compound 1 exerts its effect as an ATP-binding site competitive inhibitor, we assumed for this initial Structure Activity Relationship Study (SAR) that substituents in the NE and NW quadrants are necessary for binding in the hydrophobic pocket and phosphate binding pockets, respectively (see ATP binding site pharmacophore model in Figure 2). The relatively poor anti-proliferative activities of compounds 3 and 4 suggested that the bulky $2^{\prime}$-O-TBS group is necessary for activity. However, the lability of the lactone moieties possessed by 3 and 4 (i.e. susceptibility to saponification and/or acylation by endogenous amines) did not preclude other reasons for the poor anti-proliferative activity, namely poor bioavailability caused by saponification to the carboxylic acid or reaction with nucleophilic amino acid side chains.

Saponification of the lactone moiety would yield a negatively charged carboxylate that would not be expected to diffuse across the cell membrane, and acylation of basic amino acid side chains such as lysine might result in a significant decrease in intracellular concentration of $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ via covalent linkage to "by-stander" proteins. Both of these mechanisms could account for the lack of activity exhibited by compounds $\mathbf{3}$ and 4. In order to test whether the lack of activity exhibited by 3 and 4 was due to one of these mechanisms, or perhaps due to their lack of a bulky substituent at the $2^{\prime}$ position, compounds 9-11 were chosen as synthetic targets (Figure 14).

Figure 14. Targets for structure activity study (SAR)


The synthetic approach to compounds $\mathbf{9} \mathbf{- 1 1}$ is illustrated in Schemes 1 and 2, respectively. The synthesis of compounds 9-11 began with compound $\mathbf{1 2}$ which was prepared via a literature procedure. Treatment of compound 12 with phenylisocyanate in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ for 5 days gave compound 13 in $87 \%$ isolated yield. It was found that diphenylurea is formed as a byproduct in this reaction (via hydrolysis of phenylisocyanate from adventitious water), and that the complex between the diphenylurea byproduct and compound 13 was almost completely insoluble in most common chromatography solvents.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of compound 9 and 10.


Scheme 2. Synthesis of compound 11.






The diphenylurea byproduct formed even when compound $\mathbf{1 2}$ was dried under vacuum or via azeotropic removal of water by evaporation of benzene. Removal of the reaction solvents prior to chromatography also seemed to give larger amounts of the diphenylurea byproduct and complicated getting the crude reaction material back into solution in order to be able to apply it to the chromatography column. We found that simply running the reaction at rather high dilution and then direct addition of the reaction mixture to a chromatography column avoided the problem of complex formation between diphenylurea and compound 13. The highest yields were obtained for compound 13 when this procedure was followed.

Compound 13 was converted to compound 9 in $87 \%$ yield via a one-pot tandem reduction of the azide followed by acylation of the resulting amine intermediate with $p$ nitrophenyl N -methylcarbamate. $p$-Nitrophenyl N -methylcarbamate is a safe and easy-to- handle alternative to the more dangerous reagent methylisocyanate. ${ }^{19}$ Compound $\mathbf{9}$ was converted to compound 10 via TFA-promoted (trifluoracetic acid) hydrolysis of the acetal. Chromatography using an $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{PrOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ mixture (4:2:1) gave compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ in $75 \%$ yield.

Compound 11 was prepared from compound $\mathbf{1 4}$ via a three-step procedure. Silylation of compound 14 to give 15 was accomplished by treating 14 with TBSCl (3 equiv) and imidazole (excess). Application of this method to the preparation of 15 gave desired product in $71 \%$ yield. Conversion of $\mathbf{1 5}$ to $\mathbf{1 1}$ was accomplished using reagents and conditions similar to those used to prepare compound 9 from compound 12. Compound 16 and diphenylurea had nearly identical $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{S}$ in EtOAc/hexanes solvents, but good separation (by TLC) could be achieved using neat $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Flash chromatography of $\mathbf{1 6}$ using 4 column lengths of neat $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ followed by $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ Hexanes (3:7) gave product
which was mostly pure of the diphenylurea. However, residual "TLC-visible" quantities could be seen in the purified material. Fortunately, diphenylurea byproduct was easily separated out at the next step since it has a significantly higher $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ than compound 11.

Compounds 9 and 10 were submitted to the NCI for screening against the NCI 60 . Interestingly, compounds $\mathbf{9}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ had very little anti-proliferative activity at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ compound concentration (Table 5). This result strongly suggests that the bulky $2^{\prime}-O$-TBS group is necessary for optimum anti-proliferative activity.

To further probe structural features that might lead to anti-cancer activity, compounds from the chemical inventory in the Peterson group were submitted for screening (Figure 15). The results from these assays are illustrated in the Appendix. It is interesting to note that from this SAR it appears that the following substitution patterns are necessary for optimal anti-proliferative activity: (1) N-phenylurea in the NE quadrant; (2) N-methylurea or -urethane in the NW quadrant; (3) $O$-TBS substitution in the SE quadrant. Substitution in the SW was also evaluated and results from the NCI screening of compound $\mathbf{1 1}$ shed important light on the importance of a bulky $3^{\prime}-O$-TBS. Importantly, such substitution did not abrogate the anti-proliferative activities imparted by the NE, NW, and SE substitutions. Compound $\mathbf{1 1}$ is significantly easier to prepare than either compounds $\mathbf{1}$ or $\mathbf{2}$ and provides a synthetically versatile template for more in-depth SAR studies. Synthetic targets for this study are illustrated in Scheme 3. Discussion of the synthesis of this library of compounds follows.

Table 5. Single Dose Growth Inhibition Assay for $\mathbf{9}$ and 10 (GI Percent at $10 \mu \mathrm{M})^{a}$

| Cell Line | 9 | 10 | Cell Line | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leukemia |  |  | CNS Cancer |  |  |
| CCRF-CEM | 95 | 100 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SF-268 } \\ & \text { SF-295 } \end{aligned}$ | 91 | 99 |
| HL-60(TB) | 84 | 79 |  | 119 | 123 |
| K-562 | 90 | 60 | SF-539 | 84 | 91 |
| MOLT-4 | 103 |  | SNB-19 <br> SNB-75 | 85 | 89 |
| RPMI-8226 | 87 | 90 |  | 60 | 66 |
|  |  |  | U251 | 94 | 86 |
| Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer |  |  | Ovarian Cancer 89 |  | Ovarian Cancer |
| A549/ATCC | 103 | 99 |  |  | 85 |
| EKVX | 102 | 113 | OVCAR-4 | 90 | 92 |
| HOP-62 | 99 | 96 | OVCAR-5 | 109 | 102 |
| HOP-92 | 14 | 71 | OVCAR-8 | 100 | 105 |
| NCl-H226 | 109 | 99 | SK-OV-3 | 79 | 77 |
| $\mathrm{NCI}-\mathrm{H} 23$ | 87 | 95 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{NCl}-\mathrm{H} 322 \mathrm{M}$ | 101 | 95 | Renal Cancer |  |  |
| NCl-H460 $\mathrm{NCl}-\mathrm{H} 522$ | 101 | 101 |  |  |  |
| NCI-H522 | 104 | 92 | 786-0 A498 | 105 | 106 |
| Colon Cancer |  |  | ACHN | 105 | 99 |
| HCC-2998 | 96 | 78 | CAKI-1 | 73 | 55 |
| HCT-116 | 81 | 89 | RXF393 | 97 | 114 |
| HCT-15 | 98 |  | SN12C | 95 | 96 |
| HT29 | 99 | 97 | TK-10 | 134 | 152 |
| KM12 | 90 | 89 | UO-31 | 74 | 98 |
| SW620 | 91 | 98 | Breast Cancer BT-549 |  |  |
| Melanoma |  |  | HS578T | 102 | 93 |
| LOX IMVI | 100 | 99 | MCF7 | 85 | 91 |
| MALME-3M | 76 | 97 | MDA-MB-231 | 107 | 90 |
| M14 | 89 | 108 | MDA-MB-468 | 100 | 98 |
| SK-MEL-2 | 104 | 117 | T-47D | 75 | 78 |
| SK-MEL-28 | 92 | 100 |  |  |  |
| SK-MEL-5 | 86 | 94 | Prostate Can |  |  |
| UACC-257 | 107 | 105 | DU-145 | 94 | 95 |
| UACC-62 | 92 | 88 | PC-3 | 71 | 85 |

${ }^{a}$ Growth inhibition percent calculated as:
$\left.\left[\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{Z}}\right) / \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{Z}}\right)\right] \mathrm{X} 100$ for $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{Z}}$
$\left.\left[\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{z}}\right) / \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{z}}\right)\right] \mathrm{X} 100$ for $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}<\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{z}}$
Where $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{z}}=$ absorbance at $\mathrm{t}=0 ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ absorbance at $\mathrm{t}=48 \mathrm{~h}$ (10 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ test compound);
$\mathrm{C}=$ absorbance of control at $\mathrm{t}=48 \mathrm{~h}$

Figure 15. Structures of inventory compounds.
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Scheme 3. Synthetic targets for more in-depth SAR.


Compounds 23a-d were prepared by treating 15 with the appropriate isocyanate in dilute $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution. The reactions generally required 5 days at ambient temperature. Workup for 23a-d was similar to that for compound $\mathbf{1 6}$ and could be achieved by simple chromatography of the crude reaction mixture to give 23a-d in good yields. Reduction of the azide using standard hydrogenation conditions $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}\right)$ proved problematic for 23b,c as these compounds have moieties which are susceptible to hydrogenolysis. Successful reduction of the azide was achieved by Staudinger reduction conditions $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right.$ followed by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) which afforded the desired products $(\mathbf{2 4 b}, \mathbf{c})$ in acceptable yields.

Compounds 24a-d are currently being screened for antiproliferative activity and that data will be published as soon as it is available.

## Conclusion

A series of $N^{6}, 5^{\prime}$-bis-ureidoadenosine derivatives was prepared and tested for activities in antiproliferative assays against the NCI 60 panel of human cancers. From this study it is concluded that a $2^{\prime}-O$-TBS group is necessary (but not sufficient) for growth inhibition, as is also true for the $5^{\prime}-$ and $N^{6}$-ureido substitutions. When occurring individually in the absence of the other, neither $5^{\prime}$ - nor $N^{6}$-ureido or $N^{6}$-carbamoyl substitution gave rise to potent growth inhibition, even in the presence of the essential $2^{\prime}-$ $O$-TBS moiety, as evidenced by the almost complete lack of activity for compounds $\mathbf{1 7}$ 21 (Figure 15 and Appendix). Substitution of a carbamoyl group for the urea in the NW quadrant gave compound 22 which also exhibited comparable activities to compound $\mathbf{1}$. Very recently obtained data suggests that the synthetically-more-accessible compound $\mathbf{1 1}$ may exhibit activities comparable to the more challenging lead compound $\mathbf{1}$ (see Appendix for single-dose antiproliferative data for compound 11; NSC 750689). Compound 11 offers a synthetically viable alternative for preparing more extensive compound libraries based on the easier-to-prepare bis- $O$-TBS-substituted adenosine template (e.g. compounds $\mathbf{2 4 a - d}$ ). We are currently pursuing this line of research and results from such studies will be reported shortly.

## Experimental Section

## General Experimental

Flash chromatography was carried out using 230-400 mesh silica gel. Preparative TLC was performed using Merck Kieselgel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ sheets. UV spectra were obtained in MeOH and water. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were obtained on either a Varian 300 MHz or a Varian 500 MHz spectrometer using internal references at $\delta 7.27\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ and $\delta 2.50\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right)$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were obtained using internal references at $\delta 77.3\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ and $\delta 39.5$ (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ). High resolution mass spectra were obtained by using FAB and ESI techniques. Commercially available reagents were used as supplied. All water sensitive reactions were performed in flame-dried flasks under Nitrogen or Argon. Solvents used in the reactions were dried by passing through columns of activated alumina under Argon.


## 5'-azido-5'-deoxy-2', 3'-O-isopropylidene- $N^{6}$-( $N$-phenylcarbamoyl)adenosine (13).

To a flame dried flask containing compound 12 ( $454 \mathrm{mg}, 1.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added phenylisocyanate ( $190 \mathrm{mg}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(16 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting solution was stirred protected from moisture until TLC indicated that starting material had been consumed (5 d). The crude solution was added directly to a flash chromatography column and eluted $(50 \rightarrow 75 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes $\rightarrow 10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{EtOAc})$. Appropriate fractions were pooled and volatiles were evaporated under reduced pressure to give $5^{\prime}$ -azido-5'-deoxy-2', $\quad 3^{\prime}-O$-isopropylidene- $N^{6}$-( $N$-phenylcarbamoyl)adenosine (491 mg , $79 \%):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 11.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.21(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.19(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.3,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 9.0, 5.0 Hz, 1H), $3.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.5,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 151.2,151.1,150.2,149.9,142.1,137.9,129.1,124.1,121.1,120.4$, $115.1,90.7,85.3,84.1,81.8,52.3,27.2,25.4 ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{FAB}) m / z 452.17923\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right.$ $\left.\left[\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]=452.17948\right)$.


## 5'-Deoxy-2', 3'-O-isopropylidene-5'-[(N-methylcarbamoyl)amino]- $N^{6}$-( $N$ phenylcarbamoyl)adenosine (9).

A solution of $\mathbf{1 3}(70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(40 \mathrm{mg})$ in EtOAc ( 10 mL ) was vigorously stirred for 15 h under an atmosphere of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (balloon pressures). p-Nitrophenyl N -methylcarbamate (43 mg, 0.22 mmol ) and anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added, and the resulting mixture was stirred for 4 h under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Solids were removed via filtration (celite/EtOAc $\rightarrow \mathrm{MeOH}$ ), and volatiles were evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was chromatographed ( $5 \rightarrow 10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to give $\mathbf{9}$ (65 mg, 87\%): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 12.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.15(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.3,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $6.3,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.77$ (m, 1H), 4.52 (dd, $J=6.8,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74$ (ddd, $J=13.8,7.4$, $4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{dt}, J=3.8,14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ 159.2, 152.6, 150.9, 150.34, 150.30, 143.3, 137.2, $129.2,124.9,121.5,121.2,114.6,91.6,85.9,83.9,81.6,41.8,27.4,26.9,25.4$; MS (FAB) $m / z 483.2099\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\left[\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right]=483.2099\right)$.


5'-Deoxy-5'-[( $N$-methylcarbamoyl)amino]- $N^{6}$-( $N$-phenylcarbamoyl)adenosine (10).
A solution of $9(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.021 \mathrm{mmol})$, TFA $(100 \mu \mathrm{~L})$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(25 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(500$ $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ) was vigorously stirred at ambient temperature until TLC indicated complete conversion to baseline product (4h). Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure ( $\leq$ $\left.25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and the crude was purified via flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{iPrOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ to give 10 (7 mg, 75\%): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 11.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $3.5,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94-3.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.3,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.3$, $6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.49\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right.$; overlaps with DMSO); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ 159.1, $151.4,151.2,151.1,150.2,143.4,138.9,129.4,123.7,121.1,119.9,87.9,84.9,73.6,71.6$, 42.2, 26.8; $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{FAB}) m / z 443.17757\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\left[\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right]=443.17859\right)$.


5'-Azido-2', $3^{\prime}$ '-bis-O-tert-butyldimethylsilyl-5'-deoxyadenosine (15).

A solution of $\mathbf{1 4}(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{TBSCl}(77 \mathrm{mg}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol})$, and imidazole ( 93 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF $(0.25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at ambient temperature and protected from moisture for 2 days. The crude reaction mixture was added directly to a flash column and eluted using 50\% ethyl acetate/hexanes ( 2 columns), and $75 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes ( 3 columns) as eluents. Appropriate fractions were evaporated and the solvents were removed under reduced pressure. Recrystallization from benzene gave $\mathbf{1 5}$ (64 mg, 72.1\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.20(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{t}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{t}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=9.3,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $0.13(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),-0.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 156.0,153.1$, $149.7,140.2,120.7,90.0,83.1,74.4,72.6,51.8,25.98,25.88,18.22,18.06,-4.23,-4.53,-$ 4.68, -4.75; MS $521.2851(\mathrm{ES}) m / z\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\left[\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{2}\right]=521.2835\right)$.


## $5^{\prime}$-Azido- $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$-bis-O-tert-butyldimethylsilyl-5'-deoxy- $N^{6}$-( $N$-phenylcarbamoyl)adenosine (16).

A solution of compound $\mathbf{1 5}(125 \mathrm{mg}, 0.240 \mathrm{mmol})$ and phenylisocyanate $(0.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2.9 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at ambient temperature until TLC indicated complete consumption of starting material (5 days). The crude reaction mixture was added directly to a flash chromatography column and eluted with $20 \rightarrow 30 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes to give 16 (130 mg, 85\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 11.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.46(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.33(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.97(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.84(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.3,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.82(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.09(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),-0.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 151.3,150.8,150.1$, $142.5,138.0,129.0,123.9,121.2,120.4,89.7,82.9,74.7,72.3,51.6,25.8,25.7,18.0$, 17.9, $-4.38,-4.68,-4.84,-4.88$; MS $(\mathrm{FAB}) m / z 640.3204\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\left[\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~N}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{2}\right]\right)=$ 640.3206.


## $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$-Bis-O-tert-butyldimethylsilyl-5'-deoxy-5'-( $N$-methylcarbamoyl)amino- $N^{6}-(N-$ phenylcarbamoyl)adenosine (11).

A solution of $\mathbf{1 6}(123 \mathrm{mg}, 0.192 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(50 \mathrm{mg})$ in EtOAc ( 10 mL ) was stirred overnight under an atmosphere of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (balloon pressures). The mixture was filtered (celite) and volatiles were evaporated. The crude material was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $p$-nitrophenyl- N -methyl-carbamate ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.229 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( $60 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were then added. The mixture was stirred at ambient temperature until TLC showed reaction was complete $(9 \mathrm{~h})$. The crude mixture was added to a flash chromatography column and eluted with $75 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes $\rightarrow 5 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{EtOAc}$ to give $11(111 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 11.92(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.03(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.39(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.01(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74-4.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ 7.5, 4.5 Hz, 1 H), $4.36(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=14.5$, 9.0, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 3.19 (dt, J = 14.5, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 2.72 (d, J = $4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.95$ (s, 9H), $0.70(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.13(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),-0.13(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),-0.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $125 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 159.1,152.9,151.0,150.4,150.3,144.1,137.1,129.2,125.0,121.8,121.2$, 88.0, 87.8, 75.9, 73.5, 41.6, 26.8, 25.9, 25.6, 18.0, 17.7, -4.53, -4.79, -5.65; MS (FAB) $m / z 671.3525\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\left[\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Si}_{2}\right]\right)=671.3516$.


## $5^{\prime}$-Azido-2', $3^{\prime}$-bis-O-tert-butyldimethylsilyl-5'-deoxy- $N^{6}$-[ $N$-(4-methoxyphenyl)carbamoyl]adenosine (23a).

A solution of compound $\mathbf{1 5}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4-methoxyphenylisocyanate ( 0.24 mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at ambient temperature until TLC indicated complete consumption of starting material (6 days). The crude reaction mixture was added directly to a flash chromatography column and eluted with $50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hexanes}$ to give 23a ( $104 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 11.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.53$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.98(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{t}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{t}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.5$, $5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.0,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.0,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $0.94(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.13(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),-0.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 156.3,151.5,150.8,150.2,150.1,142.5,131.0,122.2,121.2,114.2$, 89.7, 82.9, 74.7, 72.3, 55.5, 51.6, 25.8, 25.7, 18.0, 17.9, -4.4, -4.7, -4.85, -4.90; MS (ES) $m / z\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 670.3335\left[\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{~N}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Si}_{2}\right]=670.3317\right)$.

$5^{\prime}$-Azido-2', $3^{\prime}$-bis-O-tert-butyldimethylsilyl-5'-deoxy- $N^{6}$-[ $N$-(4-chlorophenyl)carbamoyl]adenosine (23b).

A solution of compound $\mathbf{1 5}(60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4-chlorophenylisocyanate ( 0.14 $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.8 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at ambient temperature until TLC indicated complete consumption of starting material (6 days). The crude reaction mixture was added directly to a flash chromatography column and eluted with $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to give 23b ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 11.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.63(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{t}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{t}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75$ (dd, $J=13.1,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.2,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.13$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),-0.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 151.6$, $150.9,150.2,143.0,136.9,129.2,129.0,121.7,89.9,83.1,75.0,72.5,51.8,26.00,25.89$, 18.26, 18.12, -4.17, -4.47, -4.63, -4.67; MS (ES) $m / z\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 674.2819\right.$ $\left.\left[\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{ClN}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{2}\right]=674.2816\right)$.



## $5^{\prime}$-Azido- $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$-bis-O-tert-butyldimethylsilyl-5'-deoxy- $N^{6}$-[ $N$-(4-nitrophenyl)carbamoyl]adenosine (23c).

A solution of compound $\mathbf{1 5}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4-nitrophenylisocyanate ( 0.24 $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at ambient temperature until TLC indicated complete consumption of starting material (4 days). The crude reaction mixture was added directly to a flash chromatography column and eluted with $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to give 23c ( $97 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 12.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.64(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{t}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{t}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.5,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.5,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.14$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.13(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),-0.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ 151.2, $150.9,150.7,149.9,144.4,143.6,143.0,125.3,121.6,119.7,90.0,83.3,75.1,72.5,51.8$, $26.0,25.9,18.3,18.1,-4.14,-4.42,-4.58,-4.67$; MS $685.3057(\mathrm{ES}) m / z\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right.$ $\left.\left[\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Si}_{2}\right]=685.3062\right)$.

$5^{\prime}$-Azido- $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$-bis- $O$-tert-butyldimethylsilyl- $5^{\prime}$-deoxy- $N^{6}$-( $N$-benzylcarbamoyl)-
adenosine (23d).

A solution of compound $\mathbf{1 5}(70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ and benzylisocyanate $(0.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.8 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at ambient temperature until TLC indicated complete consumption of starting material (8 days). The crude reaction mixture was added directly to a flash chromatography column and eluted with $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to give 23 d ( 65 $\mathrm{mg}, 69 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 9.97(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.78(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.42$ (s,1H), 7.42-6.95 (m, 5H), $5.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{t}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.5,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{t}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.3$, $4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.8,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.7,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $0.84(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),-0.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $75 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 154.2,151.0,150.5,142.4,138.8,128.6,127.4,127.3,121.2,89.7,82.8,74.8$, $72.3,51.6,44.0,29.8,25.81,25.70,18.04,17.90,-4.38,-4.70,-4.85$; MS 654.3367 (ES) $m / z\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\left[\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{~N}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{2}\right]=654.3362\right)$.


$2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$-Bis-O-tert-butyldimethylsilyl-5'-deoxy- $N^{6}$-[ $N$-(4-methoxyphenyl)carbamoyl]-5'( N -methylcarbamoyl)aminoadenosine (24a).

A solution of $25(80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $p$-methoxyphenylisocyanate $(0.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.74 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at ambient temperature and protected from moisture until the reaction was complete ( 6 d ). The crude mixture was added directly to a flash chromatography column and eluted with $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ Hexanes $\rightarrow 4 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{EtOAc}$ to give 24a ( $56 \mathrm{mg}, 57 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (Acetone- $\left.d_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 11.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.55(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $9.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.40-6.32(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.4,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{t}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62-$ $3.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.73(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.17(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),-0.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (Acetone- $\left.d_{6}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 158.9,156.3,151.6$, $151.4,151.0,150.9,150.4,143.9,131.5,131.4,121.7,121.6,114.0,87.9,87.3,75.2,73.6$, $54.8,41.8,25.5,25.2,17.8,17.5,-5.14,-5.19,-5.33,-6.22$; MS 701.3611 (ES) $m / z$ $\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\left[\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{53} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Si}_{2}\right]=701.3621\right)$.

$2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$-Bis-O-tert-butyldimethylsilyl- $N^{6}$-[ $N$-(4-chlorophenyl)carbamoyl]-5'-deoxy-5'( $N$-methylcarbamoyl)aminoadenosine (24b).

A solution of 23b ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triphenylphosphine ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 0.6 mL ) was stirred at ambient temperature for 15 min . Water ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the mixture was refluxed for 1.5 h at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting product was evaporated and chromatographed using $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(4: 2: 1)$ to give the reduction product as a white powder. This product was mixed with $N$-methyl- $p$-nitrophenylcarbamate ( $26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(2.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 5 h at room temperature. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the crude material was chromatographed using $30 \%$ acetone $/$ hexanes $\rightarrow 5 \%$ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to give 24b (50 mg, 79\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (Acetone- $\left.d_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 12.30(\mathrm{~s}$, 1H), $9.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.34(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=4.4,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.73(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),-0.05(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}),-0.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (Acetone- $\left.d_{6}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 159.1,151.5,151.4,151.0,150.9$, $150.2,144.1,137.6,128.8,128.5,127.8,121.3,120.9,87.9,87.1,75.2,73.5,41.9,26.4$,
$26.3,25.5,25.3,17.8,17.5,-5.13,-5.19,-5.32,-6.16$; MS $705.3126(\mathrm{ES}) m / z\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right.$ $\left.\left[\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Si}_{2}\right]=705.3145\right)$.


$2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$-Bis-O-tert-butyldimethylsilyl-5'-deoxy-5'-( $N$-methylcarbamoyl)- $N^{6}-[N-(4-$
nitrophenyl)carbamoyl]aminoadenosine (24c).
A solution of 23c ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triphenylphosphine ( $29 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 0.5 mL ) was stirred at ambient temperature for 15 min . Water ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the mixture was refluxed for 1.5 h at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting product was evaporated and chromatographed using $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(4: 2: 1)$ to give the reduction product as a white powder. This product was mixed with $N$-methyl- $p$-nitrophenylcarbamate ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(1.9 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 5 h at room temperature. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the crude material was chromatographed using $30 \%$ acetone/hexanes $\rightarrow 3 \%$ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to give 24c ( $47 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (Acetone- $\left.d_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 12.77$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 9.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.33-6.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=4.5,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.72(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),-0.04$ (s, 3H), -0.14 (s, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (Acetone- $\left.d_{6}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 159.9,152.2,152.0,151.8$, $150.9,145.9,145.0,143.9,125.8,122.0,120.2,120.1,88.9,88.1,75.9,74.4,42.8,42.7$, $27.3,27.1,26.4,26.1,18.7,18.4,-4.2,-4.3,-4.4,-5.3$; MS $716.3366(\mathrm{ES}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right.$ $\left.\left[\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{~N}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{Si}_{2}\right]=716.3353\right)$.



## $N^{6}$-[ $N$-Benzylcarbamoyl]-2', $3^{\prime}$-bis-O-tert-butyldimethylsilyl-5'-deoxy- $5^{\prime}$-( $N$ methylcarbamoyl)aminoadenosine (24d).

A solution of 23c (126 mg, 0.184 mmol$)$ and triphenylphosphine ( $76 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 1.2 mL ) was stirred at ambient temperature for 15 min . Water ( $45 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the mixture was refluxed for 1.5 h at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting product was evaporated and chromatographed using $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(4: 2: 1)$ to give the reduction product as a white powder. This product was mixed with $N$-methyl- $p$-nitrophenylcarbamate ( $57 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(53 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in EtOAc ( 8.0 mL ), and stirred for 5 h at room temperature. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the crude material was chromatographed using $30 \%$ acetone/hexanes $\rightarrow 3 \%$ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to give 24d ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 10.43(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $9.33(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.91(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.34(\mathrm{bs}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.49$ (dd, $J=4.8$, $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.8$, $8.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{dt}, J=11.5,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $0.69(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),-0.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),-0.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $125 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 159.5,156.2,151.7,150.9,150.5,144.1,138.2,128.9,127.6,126.9,120.8$,
$88.6,86.6,77.3,73.9,44.3,41.5,29.9,26.9,26.1,25.7,18.2,17.9,-4.3,-4.6,-5.5 ; \mathrm{MS}$ $685.3666(\mathrm{ES}) m / z\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\left[\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Si}_{2}\right]=685.3672\right)$.

## Appendix






| Developmental Therapeutics Program One Dose Mean Graph |  | NSC: 749804 / 1 | Cenc: 1.D0EE 5 Molar | Teat Diater d | an 28, 20x89 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Experiment ID: 0 | 10541 | Repport Data: | Feh 17, 2008 |
| PaneliCell Lhe | Growith Percent | Mean Growth Percent - Growth Percent |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CCRF-CEM | 8288 89.08 |  | $\underline{E}$ |  |  |
|  | 84.25 |  | $E$ |  |  |
| MOLT-4 <br> RP4 | 74.47 9.65 |  | - |  |  |
| Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer <br> A54 AXATCC |  |  |  |  |  |
| EKVX | 74.63 |  |  |  |  |
| H0P-62 | 9127 |  | - |  |  |
| HMCP- H | 81.18 80.17 |  |  |  |  |
| NCI-H23 | $\begin{array}{r}108.89 \\ \\ \hline 0.84\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| NCI- 4 460 | 1000.54 |  | , |  |  |
| Colon Cancer |  |  |  |  |  |
| COLO 205  <br> HCO 108.54 <br> 10483  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCT-116 83.94 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CNS Cancer |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Melanoma |  |  |  |  |  |
| MALME-3MM14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| MOAMB-435 84.84 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IGROV13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| OHCAR-3OVCAR-4 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NCIARR-RES |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plenal Cancer |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7886  <br> $\mathbf{M 9 6}$ 8721 <br> 9794  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RXF 398SN12C |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proula Cliser  <br> DU-148 $\mathbf{8 5 2 1}$ <br> 9265  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Breast Cancer |  |  |  |  |  |
| MCF7  <br> MOAM-231/ATC 89.23 <br> 硅  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BT-549 | 78.88 |  | $\square$ |  |  |
| T-470 | 82.17 |  | $\square$ |  |  |
| Mean ${ }^{\text {g3. }} 88$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Della } \\ \text { Ringe } & \mathbf{2 1 . 3 7} \\ \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 150 | 100 | 0 | -100 | -150 |
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