



The Brazilian Journal of INFECTIOUS DISEASES

www.elsevier.com/locate/bjid



Original article

Predictors of mortality in multidrug-resistant tuberculosis patients from Brazilian reference centers, 2005 to 2012



Regina Gayoso^{a,*}, Margareth Dalcolmo^a, José Ueleres Braga^b, Draurio Barreira^c

^a Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Centro de Referência Professor Hélio Fraga, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

^b Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Escola Nacional de Saúde Pública Sérgio, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

^c UNITAID, Vernier, Switzerland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 5 January 2018

Accepted 11 July 2018

Available online 6 August 2018

Keywords:

Survival

Tuberculosis multidrug-resistant

Tuberculosis

Death

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To determine the main predictors of death in multidrug-resistant (MDRTB) patients from Brazil.

Design: Retrospective cohort study, a survival analysis of patients treated between 2005 and 2012.

Results: Of 3802 individuals included in study, 64.7% were men, mean age was 39 (1–93) years, and 70.3% had bilateral pulmonary disease. Prevalence of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) was 8.3%. There were 479 (12.6%) deaths. Median survival time was 1452 days (4 years). Factors associated with increased risk of death were age greater than or equal to 60 years (hazard rate [HR] = 1.6, confidence interval [CI] = 1.15–2.2), HIV co-infection (HR = 1.46; CI = 1.05–1.96), XDR resistance pattern (HR = 1.74, CI = 1.05–2.9), beginning of treatment after failure (HR = 1.72, CI = 1.27–2.32), drug abuse (HR = 1.64, CI = 1.22–2.2), resistance to ethambutol (HR = 1.30, CI = 1.06–1.6) or streptomycin (HR = 1.24, CI = 1.01–1.51). Mainly protective factors were presence of only pulmonary disease (HR = 0.57, CI = 0.35–0.92), moxifloxacin use (HR = 0.44, CI = 0.25–0.80), and levofloxacin use (HR = 0.75; CI = 0.60–0.94).

Conclusion: A more comprehensive approach is needed to manage MDRTB, addressing early diagnostic, improving adherence, and comorbidities, mainly HIV infection and drug abuse. The latest generation quinolones have an important effect in improving survival in MDRTB.

© 2018 Sociedade Brasileira de Infectologia. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB), even today, remains a serious public health problem, particularly in developing countries.¹ Without

treatment TB mortality is high, reaching 70% in ten years in patients without HIV infection.² Multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDRTB), defined as the simultaneous resistance to isoniazid and rifampicin, remains a major challenge for TB control.^{3,4} According to World Health Organization (WHO), in 2016, 4.1% of estimated new TB cases and 19% of retreatment cases in the world were caused by MDRTB or rifampicin-resistant (RR-TB) strains. Of these, 490,000 cases were MDRTB.⁵

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: reginagayoso@ensp.fiocruz.br (R. Gayoso).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjid.2018.07.002>

1413-8670/© 2018 Sociedade Brasileira de Infectologia. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Earlier studies indicated that survival of MDRTB cases is poor.⁶ Treatment outcomes for MDRTB are significantly worse than for drug-susceptible TB. In the 2014 global WHO cohort, 16% MDRTB/RR-TB patients died.⁵

Brazil is one of the 30 countries with the highest disease burden.⁵ WHO estimated that in Brazil 1.5% of new TB cases and 8.0% of retreatment cases in 2017 were MDRTB.⁵ Primary resistance to isoniazid and rifampicin in regional data from Brazil in 2007 was 1.4%.⁷ In 2017, 583 MDRTB cases were registered in Brazil national surveillance system.⁸ Rio de Janeiro State registered 166 (28.5%) MDRTB cases in 2017.⁸ However, WHO estimated that 1900 MDRTB/RR-TB cases occurred in Brazil that year. Death rate from 2015 MDRTB national cohort was 8.8%.⁹

MDRTB treatment in Brazil is standardized in most cases and MDRTB regimen is composed of an injectable drug, one quinolone, ethambutol, pyrazinamide, and terizidol.^{10,11} Treatment is usually completed after 18–24 months.¹⁰

Factors associated with poor outcomes in MDRTB identified by systematic reviews and observational studies were male sex,^{12,13} low body mass index,^{13,14} underweight,¹⁵ prior treatment with second line drugs,¹² extensive resistance pattern (XDR),¹³ HIV infection,¹⁴ no-conversion of sputum culture,¹⁶ and alcoholism.¹³ Regarding the death outcome, the risks factors pointed out in literature were age over 60 years, XDR resistance,^{12,17} previous use of second-line drugs,^{12,14,17,18} higher number of resistant drugs on sensitivity test (ST).¹⁹ Better survival is associated to later-generation quinolones use.²⁰ Diabetes is associated with increased risk of death and treatment failure.²¹ Immunocompromised patients had a nine-fold greater risk of death.⁶ TB and HIV are the major causes of death worldwide.⁵ Employment of antiretroviral therapy (ARV) in HIV-infected patients with MDRTB resulted in reduction in mortality, as well as increased survival.²²

Objectives

Little is known about the survival of MDRTB patients in Brazil. The aim of the study is to characterize and identify the main predictors of death among MDRTB patients in Brazil.

Methods

A non-concurrent cohort study was performed to analyze the relationship between socio-demographic, clinical, radiological, laboratory aspects, and drug regimens on MDRTB patient survival. The study was conducted at Hélio Fraga Reference Center (ENSP-FIOCRUZ), Rio de Janeiro, and included MDRTB patients from whole country that started treatment between January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2012, and that have been followed until December 31, 2012. Clinical and laboratory data were extracted from the MDRTB surveillance system. Outcomes were assessed at the end of the study.

Patients included in the study were those cases who had sensitivity test showing multidrug-resistance pattern and received regimens that included in its composition the drugs recommended for MDRTB treatment by the National Tuberculosis Program (NTP-MoH).¹⁰ Patients excluded from the study

were the following: no laboratory confirmation of tuberculosis; patients with changed resistance pattern on consecutive sensitivity tests; no outcome information, or transferred out; use of regimens with less than three second-line drugs, and treatment duration less than 12 months.

Definitions – variables

All the outcomes were defined based on the WHO definitions criteria.³ Therefore death was defined as death from any cause during treatment. Extensive resistance (XDR) is multidrug-resistance pattern plus resistance to fluoroquinolone and second-line injectable drugs (capreomycin, amikacin, kanamycin).³

Demographic variables included were sex (male, female), age group (up to 59 years, 60 years or more), schooling years (<8, +8 years), and ethnicity as self-referred (white, black/brown, others). Clinical presentation of disease, classified as exclusively pulmonary (TB involving only pulmonary parenchyma and tracheobronchial tree), extra-pulmonary (pleural TB and disease involving other organs), or both presentations; x-ray was analyzed according to cavity presence and side of pulmonary involvement (unilateral, bilateral). Sensitivity test (ST) results for ethambutol, streptomycin, amikacin, capreomycin, and ofloxacin, pattern of resistance (XDR or MDR), begin treatment after failure of previous TBMDR treatment (yes/no). Drugs included in treatment regimen: injectable drug (amikacin, capreomycin, streptomycin), quinolones (ofloxacin, levofloxacin, moxifloxacin), pyrazinamide, and clofazimine.

Comorbidities and social behaviors studied were the presence of HIV infection, silicosis, hepatitis, use of steroids, neoplasia, organ transplant, presence of renal failure, drug addiction, alcoholism, diabetes, and smoking.

The study was approved by the National School of Public Health Research Ethics Committee (CEP/ENSP), number CAAE47351815.5.0000.5240.

Data analysis was conducted using the statistical software “R” version 3.2.3. It included (a) the estimation of the median survival time to death through Kaplan-Meier method, (b) non-parametric estimation using stratified Kaplan-Meier (KM) method comparing the curves of the strata using Mantel-Haenzel test (log-rank) and Peto test with significance level of 5%, and (c) semi-parametric Cox modelling including covariates that met the proportionality assumptions in order to define the main predictors of death.

Results

Of 3877 cases of MDRTB exported from the surveillance system, 75 cases were excluded from the study, and 3802 individuals were included in the analysis.

There were 2461 (64.7%) men, and the mean age was 39.3 years (SD = 13.1 years). Regarding ethnicity, 2285 (60.1%) were black or brown-skinned (Table 1). Completed seven years of study 55.1% patients. Concerning the type of treatment initiation, 81.7% were new cases and the patients did on average 2.7 (1–10) prior TB treatments. Primary resistance was found in 18.3%. Pulmonary disease was present in 97.4% individu-

Table 1 – Demographic and clinical characteristics (N = 3802).

Characteristic	N (%)	Characteristic	N (%)
Sex		Resistance pattern	
Male	2461 (64.7)	MDR	3734 (98.2)
Female	1341 (35.3)	XDR	68 (1.8)
Ethnicity		Resistance type	
Brown-skinned	1641 (43.2)	Acquired	3106 (81.7)
White	1403 (36.9)	Primary	696 (18.3)
Black	644 (16.9)	Disease type	
Indigenous	16 (0.4)	Pulmonary	3704 (97.4)
Yellow	6 (0.2)	Both	69 (1.8)
Ignored	92 (2.4)	Extrapulmonary	29 (0.8)
Age group		Pulmonary disease	
<60 years	3530 (92.8)	Bilateral	2673 (70.3)
60 and more	272 (7.2)	Unilateral	1099 (28.9)
Schooling (years)		Normal	30 (0.8)
None	266 (7.0)	Pulmonary cavity	
1-3	718 (18.9)	Yes	3087 (81.2)
4-7	1377 (36.2)	No	685 (18.8)
8-11	854 (22.5)	Regimen	
≥12	235 (6.2)	Individualized	464 (12.2)
Ignored	352 (9.3)	Standardized	3338 (87.8)
MDRTB treatment		DOT	
New case	3106 (81.7)	Yes	2761 (72.6)
After default	295 (7.8)	No	1041 (27.4)
After failure	265 (7.0)		
Relapse	106(2.8)		
Other	30 (0.7)		

MDR, multidrug-resistance; XDR, extensively drug-resistant; DOT, Directly Observed Treatment.

Table 2 – Sensitivity tests results and medicines employed.

	N (%)		N (%)
ST amikacin		Amikacin use	
Resistant	75 (6.4)	Yes	2586 (68.0)
Sensitive	295 (3.3)	No	1216 (32.0)
Not done	3432 (90.3)	Streptomycin use	
ST ethambutol		Yes	1162 (44.0)
Resistant	1249 (32.8)	No	2640 (56.0)
Sensitive	2351 (61.8)	Ofloxacin use	
Not done	202 (5.4)	Yes	2091 (55.0)
ST streptomycin		No	1711 (45.0)
Resistant	1436 (37.8)	Moxifloxacin use	
Sensitive	2137 (56.2)	Yes	110 (2.9)
Not done	229 (6.0)	No	3692 (97.1)
ST ofloxacin		Levofloxacin use	
Resistant	135 (3.6)	Yes	1639 (43.1)
Sensitive	234 (6.1)	No	2163 (56.9)
Not done	3433 (90.3)	Clofazimine use	
Pyrazinamide use		Yes	226 (6.0)
Yes	2658 (69.9)	No	3576 (94.0)
No	1144 (30.1)		

ST, sensitivity test.

als, having bilateral disease 70.3%. Cavities were present in 81.2% (Table 1). Standardized regimen was employed in 3338 (87.8%) individuals. Amikacin was used in 68.0% of treatments, levofloxacin 43.1%, and moxifloxacin in 2.9% (Table 2). Comorbidities more frequently encountered were alcoholism (18.0%), drug addiction (11.0%), diabetes (10.9%), HIV infection (8.3%).

Table 3 – Risk factors for death (Cox model).

Variable	Coefficient	HR	CI	p-Value
Age group (60+)	0.465	1.6	1.15-2.20	0.005
Schooling (8+)	-0.22	0.8	0.63-1.01	0.068
Resistance pattern (XDR)	0.55	1.74	1.05-2.90	0.036
Only pulmonary disease (yes)	-0.56	0.57	0.35-0.92	0.021
Retreatment after failure (yes)	0.54	1.72	1.27-2.32	0.0005
Streptomycin resistance (yes)	0.21	1.24	1.01-1.51	0.034
Ethambutol resistance (yes)	0.26	1.3	1.06-1.60	0.0105
Drug addiction (yes)	0.5	1.64	1.22-2.20	0.0008
HIV infection (yes)	0.36	1.46	1.05-1.96	0.024
Moxifloxacin use (yes)	-0.82	0.44	0.25-0.80	0.0065
Levofloxacin use (yes)	-0.28	0.75	0.60-0.94	0.016
Likelihood ratio Log: 84.14 on 11 DF. p = 2.327e-13				
Wald test: 90.33 em 11 DF p = 1.443e-14				

CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; DF, degrees of freedom.

Favorable outcomes occurred in 41.3% of patients, and 12.6% died. At the end of observation period, 965 (25.4%) subjects were still on treatment.

Survival analysis

There were 479 deaths (12.7%), and 3323 (87.4%) observations were censored. The median survival time was 1452 days (CI: 1292-1589), or 48.4 months (Fig. 1).

Variables that met the proportionality assumptions were: age group (<60/60+), schooling years, resistance pattern (MDR/XDR), pulmonary or extrapulmonary disease, treatment after failure, amikacin resistance, streptomycin resistance, ofloxacin resistance, ethambutol resistance, bilateral disease on thorax radiography, drug addiction, alcoholism, AIDS, streptomycin use, amikacin use, moxifloxacin use, levofloxacin use (Fig. 2). After running the backwards modelling, the final model was selected by the likelihood ratio (LR), yielding the hazard-rate (HR) for each variable.

Characteristics that did not meet the defined proportionality assumptions were sex, race, type of schema, cavities on X-ray, capreomycin resistance, presence of silicosis, hepatitis, smoking, diabetes, neoplasia, corticosteroid use, organ transplant, renal failure, ofloxacin use, pyrazinamide use, clofazimine use.

According to the final model, the characteristics associated with higher risk of death were age group greater than or equal to 60 years (HR = 1.6), XDR resistance pattern (HR = 1.74), begin of treatment after failure (HR = 1.72), resistance to streptomycin (HR = 1.24), resistance to ethambutol (HR = 1.30), drug abuse (HR = 1.64), presence of AIDS (HR = 1.46). The characteristics associated with lower risk of death were eight or more years of study (HR = 0.8), presentation of disease as exclusively pulmonary type (HR = 0.57), use of moxifloxacin (HR = 0.44), and use of levofloxacin in the treatment regimen (HR = 0.75) (Table 3).

Discussion

The long survival time may indicate the chronic evolution of this disease. In the studied population, median survival time was 3.97 years, similar to that found in other studies,




Fig. 1 – Survival curve of MDRTB patients. Dotted line - confidence interval.




Fig. 2 – Stratified Kaplan-Meier survival curves. (A): schooling years; (B): resistance pattern; (C): HIV coinfection; (D): moxifloxacin use; $S(t)$: probability of survival.

between 3.8 and 4.1 years.^{6,23} Beyond the first year, 91.2% were still alive. However, after two years the probability of survival greatly decreased, and could reflect progression of pulmonary damage. Survival time in MDRTB would be similar to that of tuberculosis in pre-antibiotic era.⁶

There was no difference in survival time related to sex, as found in other survival studies.^{6,14,17} Disease severity presented in both sexes could help explaining the similar survival. Like sex, ethnicity did not influence survival, perhaps for the same reason. Being ill would be influenced by biolog-

ical and social factors, whereas evolution to death would be more related to disease severity.¹⁴

Older patients have greater risk of death. Similar findings were described by others, where the risk of death due to MDRTB increases as patient age increases.^{6,12,14,23} Risk of death could almost double for each 10 years of increase in age.⁶ Life expectancy of Brazilian population is increasing steadily over the years.²⁴ Elderly people may suffer from reactivation of TB infection acquired years earlier, or they could acquire it in hospitals or nursing homes.²⁵ Patients that have more than eight schooling years have less risk of dying. Others have found similar association, being survival better in people with more schooling years.^{23,26} Less education yield less access to information, which could make access to health services more difficult.²⁶

Regarding the retreatment after MDRTB treatment failure, the risk of dying is 74% greater, although new cases comprise 81.7% of the studied population. Risk of death is higher in patients who have had prior treatment with second-line drugs.^{12,14,17,18} Failure to a tuberculosis treatment mainly occurs due to the presence of resistance to prescribed medications, often associated with irregular medication taking.²⁷

Better survival in patients with only pulmonary disease may be related to the finding of extrapulmonary or disseminated TB disease in HIV co-infection. Most patients had significant lung involvement suggesting delay in resistance detection and initiation of specific treatment.

Individuals with XDR resistance pattern die more frequently and sooner than MDRTB patients. The median survival time was one year less. The association of the XDR pattern with higher risk of death was found in other studies, being XDR resistance pattern an important predictor of death.^{12,17} Particularly in XDR, if there are not many drug options to employ, patient may remain for a long time spreading the bacillus in the community until he evolves to death.²⁷

Resistance to ethambutol or streptomycin, in addition to the multiresistance pattern is associated with a higher risk of death. The lowest survival of MDRTB patients was related to more number of resistances on sensitivity test (ST).¹⁹ Resistance to streptomycin would be a predictor of shorter survival time in patients with XDR pattern.¹⁷ As the mean of previous treatments for TB of the patients studied was 2.6 treatments, it is quite plausible to find resistance to other drugs in this population.

Use of moxifloxacin or levofloxacin is associated with lower risk of death. In Brazil moxifloxacin is employed in XDR regimens and in patients who failed therapy, both of them usually having more severe disease. In our country there is a widespread use of quinolones. Cross-resistance between quinolones may occur, and levofloxacin use could mask the diagnosis of pulmonary TB, yielding further resistance to this drug. Use of quinolones prior to TB treatment was associated with a higher risk of death, suggesting that someone who is taking quinolones should be screened for tuberculosis.¹⁴ High prevalence of ofloxacin resistance in South Korea was reported in patients who have never received TB treatment.¹⁸

HIV coinfecting patient has a 46% higher risk of dying. Risk of death in HIV patients ranged from 2.7 to 4.2 fold in HIV-infected patients.^{14,19,23} Anderson et al. found a 60% lower chance of favorable outcome in the HIV co-infected patient.²⁸

This variability could be explained, among other factors, by the number of individuals who underwent anti-HIV testing and different prevalences of HIV co-infection between studies, from 1.4%²³ to 29.0%.⁶ Prevalence of HIV infection in studied population was 8.3%. Although ART use could positively influence MDRTB outcomes in HIV coinfection, death could occur due to other comorbidities. We did not find any differences in diabetes, smoking, and other comorbidities on KM curves. This could also be related to advanced disease found in most patients, and missing information on the database.

Drug addiction is an important predictor of death, with drug users having more risk of dying. Findings regarding drug addiction are not very consistent. Some authors evaluate substance abuse and social habits together. One study did not find association of 'social characteristics' with favorable outcomes.²⁸ Balabanova et al. also found no effect of drug abuse on the survival of MDRTB patients, but found unfavorable outcomes related to alcoholism.²³ Notwithstanding another study has reported an association between alcohol, drug abuse, and unfavorable outcomes.²⁹ Drug abuse has increased in Brazil since the 1990s, mainly crack addiction. There is a plausible existence of information bias in the evaluation of drug addiction in non-concurrent studies, as well as difficulties in obtaining this kind of information from patients.

Study limitations

Information bias cannot be ruled out. Some characteristics that may influence the evolution to death may not have been registered in the database. Comorbidity registration is not mandatory, as hospitalization, use of antiretroviral therapy (ART) and any other disease associated with HIV infection. Adverse effects of medications and their effect on patient survival were not evaluated. Adherence to treatment was not accurately assessed, because registration of daily drugs administration is not mandatory in the database.

Conclusions

The protective effect of latest generation quinolones corroborates the WHO recommendations for MDRTB treatment. Alongside with AIDS, drug addiction was the comorbidity that showed an important effect in increasing the risk of death. Drug addiction is a serious social problem that needs a more effective approach by health systems. Ideally patients must be followed by a multidisciplinary team, including social, financial, and psychological support.

It is extremely important that TB control programmes give more attention to factors related to individual behavior and social environment, which, together with the chronic evolution of the disease and its prolonged treatment, may adversely affect MDRTB outcomes.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Glaziou P, Falzon D, Floyd K, Raviglione M. Global epidemiology of tuberculosis. *Semin Respir Crit Care Med*. 2013;34:3-16.
2. Tiemersma EW, van der Werf MJ, Borgdorff MW, Williams BG, Nagelkerke NJ. Natural history of tuberculosis: duration and fatality of untreated pulmonary tuberculosis in HIV negative patients: a systematic review. *PLoS ONE*. 2011;6:e17601.
3. WHO. Definitions and reporting framework for tuberculosis – 2013 Revision. World Health Organization; 2013.
4. Caminero JA. Multidrug-resistant tuberculosis: epidemiology, risk factors and case finding. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis*. 2010;14:382-90.
5. WHO. Global Tuberculosis Report 2017. World Health Organization; 2017.
6. Drobniowski F, Eltringham I, Graham C, Magee JG, Smith EG, Watt B. A national study of clinical and laboratory factors affecting the survival of patients with multiple drug resistant tuberculosis in the UK. *Thorax*. 2002;57:810-6.
7. Micheletti VC, Moreira Jda S, Ribeiro MO, Kritski AL, Braga JU. Drug-resistant tuberculosis in subjects included in the Second National Survey on Antituberculosis Drug Resistance in Porto Alegre, Brazil. *J Bras Pneumol*. 2014;40:155-63.
8. Brasil-Ministério da Saúde. Retrieved from: <http://sitetb.saude.gov.br/index.html>, 2010 [20.01.17].
9. Brasil-Ministério da Saúde. Detectar, tratar e curar: desafios e estratégias brasileiras frente à tuberculose. *Bol Epidemiol*. 2015;46.
10. Brasil-Ministério da Saúde. Manual de Recomendações para o Controle da Tuberculose no Brasil. Brasil: Ministério da Saúde; 2011.
11. Dalcolmo MP, Andrade MK, Picon PD. Multiresistant tuberculosis in Brazil: history and control. *Rev Saude Publica*. 2007;41 Suppl 1:34-42.
12. Jeon DS, Shin DO, Park SK, et al. Treatment outcome and mortality among patients with multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in tuberculosis hospitals of the public sector. *J Korean Med Sci*. 2011;26:33-41.
13. Johnston JC, Shahidi N, Sadatsafavi M, Fitzgerald JM. Treatment outcomes of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE*. 2009;4:e6914.
14. Kurbatova EV, Taylor A, Gammino VM, et al. Predictors of poor outcomes among patients treated for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis at DOTS-plus projects. *Tuberculosis (Edinb)*. 2012;92:397-403.
15. Chung-Delgado K, Revilla-Montag A, Guillén-Bravo S, Bernabe-Ortiz A. Weight variation over time and its relevance among multidrug-resistant tuberculosis patients. *Int J Infect Dis*. 2014;23:20.
16. Pefura-Yone EW, Kengne AP, Kuaban C. Non-conversion of sputum culture among patients with smear positive pulmonary tuberculosis in Cameroon: a prospective cohort study. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2014;14:138.
17. Kim DH, Kim HJ, Park S, et al. Treatment outcomes and survival based on drug resistance patterns in multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2010;182:113-9.
18. Jeon CY, Hwang SH, Min JH, et al. Extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis in South Korea: risk factors and treatment outcomes among patients at a tertiary referral hospital. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2008;46:42-9.
19. Mitnick CD, Franke MF, Rich ML, et al. Aggressive regimens for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis decrease all-cause mortality. *PLoS ONE*. 2013:e58664.
20. Ahuja SD, Ashkin D, Avendano M, et al. Multidrug resistant pulmonary tuberculosis treatment regimens and patient outcomes: an individual patient data meta-analysis of 9,153 patients. *PLoS Med*. 2012;9:e1001300.
21. Baker MA, Harries AD, Jeon CY, et al. The impact of diabetes on tuberculosis treatment outcomes: a systematic review. *BMC Med*. 2011;9:81.
22. Padayatchi N, Abdool Karim SS, Naidoo K, Grobler A, Friedland G. Improved survival in multidrug-resistant tuberculosis patients receiving integrated tuberculosis and antiretroviral treatment in the SAPiT Trial. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis*. 2014;18:147-54.
23. Balabanova Y, Radiulyte B, Davidaviciene E, et al. Survival of drug resistant tuberculosis patients in Lithuania: retrospective national cohort study. *BMJ Open*. 2011;1:e000351.
24. IBGE. Retrieved from: <http://seriesestatisticas.ibge.gov.br/series.aspx?vcodigo=POP106&t=populacao-presente-residente-cor-raca-dados>, 2016 [07.01.16].
25. Schaaf S, Zumla A, Grange JM, et al. Tuberculosis – a comprehensive clinical reference. Elsevier; 2009. ISBN: 978-1416039884.
26. San Pedro A, Oliveira RM. Tuberculose e indicadores socioeconômicos: revisão sistemática da literatura. *Rev Panam Salud Publica*. 2013;33:294-301.
27. Raviglione MC, Smith IM. XDR tuberculosis – implications for global public health. *N Engl J Med*. 2007;356:656-9.
28. Anderson LF, Tamne S, Watson JP, et al. Treatment outcome of multi-drug resistant tuberculosis in the United Kingdom: retrospective-prospective cohort study from 2004 to 2007. *Euro Surveill*. 2013;18, pii:20601.
29. Cavanaugh JS, Kazenny B, Nguyen ML, et al. Outcomes and follow-up of patients treated for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in Orel, Russia, 2002-2005. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis*. 2012;16:1069-74.