

## Rapid Identification of Sporothrix Species by T3B Fingerprinting

Manoel Marques Evangelista de Oliveira,<sup>a</sup> Paula Sampaio,<sup>b</sup> Rodrigo Almeida-Paes,<sup>a</sup> Célia Pais,<sup>b</sup> Maria Clara Gutierrez-Galhardo,<sup>a</sup> and Rosely Maria Zancope-Oliveira<sup>a</sup>

Laboratório de Micologia, Instituto de Pesquisa Clínica Evandro Chagas, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil,<sup>a</sup> and Molecular and Environmental Biology Centre (CBMA), Universidade do Minho, Braga, Portugal<sup>b</sup>

This article describes PCR fingerprinting using the universal primer T3B to distinguish among species of the *Sporothrix* complex, *S. brasiliensis*, *S. globosa*, *S. mexicana*, and *S. schenckii*. This methodology generated distinct banding patterns, allowing the correct identification of all 35 clinical isolates at the species level, confirmed by partial calmodulin (CAL) gene sequence analyses. This methodology is simple, reliable, rapid, and cheap, making it an ideal routine identification system for clinical mycology laboratories.

**S** porotrichosis is a globally distributed subcutaneous mycosis with areas of high endemicity (11, 21) that is caused by the dimorphic fungus *Sporothrix schenckii* (22). Sporotrichosis has been regarded as a job-related disease occurring as isolated cases or small outbreaks affecting people exposed to plants or soil (1, 6, 7). Rio de Janeiro State, Brazil, is a region of sporotrichosis hyperendemicity where several human and animal cases have been described since 1998 (5, 23).

The diagnosis of sporotrichosis is attained by clinical, epidemiological, and laboratorial data, including culture and analysis of phenotypic characteristics. The first description of PCR for sporotrichosis' diagnosis was reported in 2001 (9). A diagnostic nested PCR assay targeting the *S. schenckii* 18S rRNA gene was further evaluated and showed high sensitivity and specificity, indicating that PCR may be clinically useful for diagnosis (8).

*S. schenckii* was long considered a single taxon, although great genetic variation within this species has been described (10). By associating phenotypic and genotypic features, Marimon et al. (13) recognized three new species, *Sporothrix brasiliensis, Sporothrix globosa*, and *Sporothrix mexicana*, and proposed an identification key for these *Sporothrix* species. *S. globosa* has worldwide distribution (12, 18), whereas *S. brasiliensis* is apparently restricted to Brazil (13) and *S. mexicana* to Mexican environmental samples (13), although the latter was recently identified in Portugal (3). Additionally, these authors have proposed the promotion of *S. schenckii* var. *luriei* to the status of a new species, *Sporothrix luriei* (14). However, identification based only on phenotypic characteristics is often inconclusive due to phenotypic variability within these species. Therefore, new rapid and reliable identification strategies are necessary (19).

Analysis of tRNA intergenic spacers was first used to distinguish *Streptococcus* species (15). It has also been applied successfully for *Candida* identification (2, 16, 24). Here, we evaluate T3B PCR fingerprinting to differentiate clinical *Sporothrix* strains at the species level in comparison to analysis of partial calmodulin (CAL) gene sequences (19).

Thirty-five *Sporothrix* spp. isolates from the Fungal Culture Collection of IPEC/Fiocruz were included in this study approved by the Ethics Commission of the same institution. Among them, *S. brasiliensis* type strain CBS 120339 (IPEC16490), *S. globosa* IPEC27135 (18), *S. schenckii* IPEC29334 (IOC1226) (19), and *S. mexicana* (MUM11.02) (3) were used as controls. All 35 strains



FIG 1 Representative T3B PCR fingerprinting profiles of the *Sporothrix* complex. (1 and 7) Molecular marker DNA ladder, 100 bp (Invitrogen). (2) *S. brasiliensis* (IPEC 16490). (3) *S. globosa* (IPEC 27135). (4) *S. mexicana* (MUM 11.02). (5) *S. schenckii* (IPEC27722). (6) Negative control.

were previously phenotypically characterized (3, 19), and 15 isolates could not be identified (Table 1).

Genomic DNA was extracted from the mycelial phase (25), and PCR was performed with the primer T3B (5'-AGGTCGCGGGT TCGAATCC-3') (24). T3B PCR fingerprinting reproducibility was confirmed by repeating the assays at least 3 times under the same conditions at two different laboratories. The T3B profiles

Received 16 February 2012 Accepted 27 February 2012 Published ahead of print 7 March 2012 Address correspondence to Rosely Maria Zancope-Oliveira, rosely.zancope@ipec.fiocruz.br. Copyright © 2012, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved. doi:10.1128/JCM.00450-12

 TABLE 1 Comparison of tools applied in the characterization of strains of the Sporothrix complex

		Genotypic characterization		
			GenBank	
	Phenotypic	Final	accession	
Strain	identification <sup>a</sup>	identification <sup>b</sup>	no. <sup>c</sup>	Reference <sup>d</sup>
IPEC16490	S. brasiliensis	S. brasiliensis	AM116899	19
IPEC27445-3	S. brasiliensis	S. brasiliensis	HQ426950	19
IPEC27052	Sporothrix sp.*	S. brasiliensis	HQ426941	19
IPEC27135	Sporothrix sp.*	S. globosa	GU456632	3
IPEC27387	Sporothrix sp.*	S. brasiliensis	HQ426948	19
IPEC34067	Sporothrix sp.*	S. brasiliensis	HQ426952	19
IPEC27372	Sporothrix sp.*	S. brasiliensis	HQ426947	19
IPEC25011	S. brasiliensis	S. brasiliensis	HQ426935	19
IPEC33605	Sporothrix sp.*	S. brasiliensis	HQ426957	19
IPEC27930	Sporothrix sp.*	S. brasiliensis	HQ426951	19
IPEC28772	Sporothrix sp.*	S. brasiliensis	HQ426955	19
IPEC28457	S. brasiliensis	S. brasiliensis	JN995607	This study
IPEC34007	Sporothrix sp.*	S. brasiliensis	HQ426959	This study
IPEC27177-2	Sporothrix sp.*	S. brasiliensis	HQ426944	19
IPEC27087	S. brasiliensis	S. brasiliensis	HQ426942	19
IPEC27288	Sporothrix sp.*	S. brasiliensis	HQ426945	19
IPEC27209	Sporothrix sp.*	S. brasiliensis	HQ426946	19
IPEC28604	S. brasiliensis	S. brasiliensis	HQ426953	19
IPEC26945	Sporothrix sp.*	S. brasiliensis	HQ426939	19
IPEC27130	Sporothrix sp.*	S. brasiliensis	HQ426943	19
IPEC25521	Sporothrix sp.*	S. brasiliensis	HQ426936	19
IPEC16919	S. brasiliensis	S. brasiliensis	HQ426930	19
IPEC18782A	S. brasiliensis	S. brasiliensis	HQ426933	This study
IPEC28329	S. schenckii*	S. brasiliensis	JN995610	This study
IPEC27022	S. brasiliensis	S. brasiliensis	HQ426940	19
IPEC28487	S. brasiliensis	S. brasiliensis	HQ426928	19
IPEC28665	S. brasiliensis	S. brasiliensis	JN995606	This study
IPEC28790	S. brasiliensis	S. brasiliensis	HQ426956	19
IPEC29334	S. schenckii	S. schenckii	HQ426962	19
IPEC26961	S. schenckii	S. schenckii	JN995605	This study
IPEC27157-1	S. schenckii	S. schenckii	JN995604	This study
IPEC27100	S. schenckii*	S. brasiliensis	JN995609	This study
IPEC27133	S. schenckii*	S. brasiliensis	JN995608	This study
MUM 11.02	S. mexicana or S. schenckii*	S. mexicana	JF970258	4
IPEC27722	S. mexicana*	S. schenckii	HQ426961	19

<sup>*a*</sup> Performed according to reference 19. Asterisks indicate incorrect phenotypic identifications.

<sup>b</sup> Calmodulin sequencing and T3B concordant identification.

<sup>c</sup> Of strain used for characterization.

<sup>d</sup> Reference from which the partial gene calmodulin sequencing result was obtained.

were analyzed using the software Bionumerics 5.1 (Applied Maths BVBA, Saint-Martens-Latem, Belgium). Similarity coefficients were calculated using the Dice algorithm, and cluster analysis was performed by means of the unweighted-pair group method using average linkages (UPGMA). Sequencing of the CAL gene was performed as previously described (17) using the sequencing platform at Fiocruz, Brazil (20). Sequences were edited with Sequencer 4.6 (Genes Codes Corporation), aligned with MEGA 4.0.2 software, and compared with sequences available from NCBI GenBank by BLAST. Phylogenetic analyses were performed using MEGA with 1,000 bootstrap replicates (4) (http://www .megasoftware.net/).

T3B PCR fingerprinting of control strains showed profiles with DNA fragments ranging from 300 to 1,500 bp that allowed a clear

distinction of S. brasiliensis, S. globosa, S. mexicana, and S. schenckii (Fig. 1). A dendrogram derived from analysis of the T3B profiles of all isolates splits Sporothrix strains into three groups, not the expected four, with IPEC27722, the only strain phenotypically characterized as S. mexicana, grouping into the S. schenckii cluster. Regardless of this discrepancy, the T3B profiling showed a high correspondence between clusters and Sporothrix species, with all isolates clustering with their respective control strain (Fig. 2). The T3B fingerprinting identification was confirmed by comparison with the CAL gene partial sequences obtained along with sequences from the NCBI database, AM398393.1 (S. mexicana), AM398382.1 (Sporothrix albicans), AM116908 (S. globosa), and AM117444.1 (S. schenckii). The phylogenetic tree of the CAL locus analyzed by neighbor joining revealed five distinct clades represented by the five species (Fig. 3). Overall, there was 100% agreement between T3B PCR fingerprinting and CAL locus sequencing on species identification. Comparing these results with phenotypic analysis, 14 of the 15 isolates with inconclusive phenotypes



**FIG 2** Dendrogram showing the degrees of similarity of T3B fingerprinting profiles among the *Sporothrix* isolates by using the Dice coefficient and the UPGMA cluster method. The cophenetic correlation coefficient (0.97) indicates a very good fit for this analysis.



FIG 3 Consensus tree of Sporothrix based on partial calmodulin (CAL) gene sequences of 35 strains and the NCBI public GenBank sequences AM398393.1 (S. mexicana), AM398382.1 (S. albicans), and AM117444.1 (S. schenckii) that was constructed with MEGA version 4.0.2 and 1,000 bootstrap replicates.

grouped within the *S. brasiliensis* cluster and IPEC27135 grouped within the *S. globosa* cluster. The genotypic analyses also allowed the correction of phenotypic misidentifications, such as for the isolate IPEC27722, which was phenotypically characterized as *S. mexicana* but grouped within the *S. schenckii* cluster, as well as for the isolates IPEC27133, IPEC27100, and IPEC28329, which identified as *S. schenckii* but clustered with *S. brasiliensis*.

Identification of the *Sporothrix* species complex has been based on a polyphasic approach using a combination of phenotypic methodologies and sequencing (13, 14, 18, 19). The proposed identification key based on phenotypic tests (13) was reported as easy and reliable for species differentiation without the need of molecular techniques. However, the results are often inconclusive or ambiguous, and some species are too closely related to show phenotypic differences. This work describes the assessment of DNA polymorphism within the *Sporothrix* complex by genomic DNA amplification with the single nonspecific primer T3B. The T3B PCR profiles were highly informative and generated clearly distinct banding patterns for each species, allowing their differentiation. *S. brasiliensis* strains showed bands sharing similarity higher than 80%, but intraspecies variation was observed. This variation was previously demonstrated for *Candida* (2), and band-sharing values observed are within the variation range (70 to 85%) expected for strains within the same species (16).

Comparison of the inconclusive phenotypic identification of isolates with the results obtained by T3B PCR fingerprinting demonstrated the sensitivity of the latter, since species of those strains could all be determined. This proposed identification technique is simple, reliable, rapid, and cheaper and requires less technical expertise than sequencing. The generated, computer-scanned PCR profiles can form the basis of a database which can be used for future identifications of atypical or unidentifiable *Sporothrix* isolates. Furthermore, these advantages are especially valuable in a laboratory with limited facilities, making it an ideal identification methodology for clinical mycology laboratories.

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers. All sequences were deposited in the GenBank database under accession numbers GU456632, HQ426928 to HQ426962, and JN995604 to JN995610.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support was provided by FAPERJ (grant proc. E-26/111.619/ 2008). R.M.Z.-O. is supported in part by CNPq 350338/2000-0. M.M.E.D.O. was supported in part by a Cyted Grant (RED MICOMOL\_ CYTED CIFG8231770) for his work at CBMA, Universidade do Minho, Braga, Portugal.

We thank M. M. Muniz and R. Franco-Duarte for their assistance and N. Lima for kindly supplying the *S. mexicana* isolate.

Automated sequencing was done using the genomic platform/DNA sequencing platform at Fundação Oswaldo Cruz—PDTIS/FIOCRUZ (RPT01A), Brazil.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Cooper CR, Dixon DM, Salkin IF. 1992. Laboratory-acquired sporotrichosis. J. Med. Vet. Mycol. 30:169–171.
- Correia A, Sampaio P, Almeida J, Pais C. 2004. Study of molecular epidemiology of candidiasis in Portugal by PCR fingerprinting of *Candida* clinical isolates. J. Clin. Microbiol. 42:5899–5903.
- Dias NM, Oliveira MME, Santos C, Zancope-Oliveira RM, Lima N. 2011. Sporotrichosis caused by *Sporothrix mexicana*, Portugal. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 17:1975–1976.
- 4. Felsenstein J. 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. Evolution **39**:783–791.
- 5. Freitas DF, Valle ACF, Almeida-Paes R, Bastos FI, Gutierrez-Galhardo MC. 2010. Zoonotic sporotrichosis in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil: a protracted epidemic yet to be curbed. Clin. Infect. Dis. **50**:453.
- Hajjeh R, et al. 1997. Outbreak of sporotrichosis among tree nursery workers. J. Infect. Dis. 176:499–504.
- Hay RJ, Morris-Jones R. 2008. Outbreaks of sporotrichosis. Curr. Opin. Infect. Dis. 21:119–121.
- 8. Hu S, et al. 2003. Detection of *Sporothrix schenckii* in clinical samples by a nested PCR assay. J. Clin. Microbiol. 41:1414–1418.
- 9. Kano R, Nakamura Y, Watanabe S, Tsujimoto H, Hasegawa A. 2001. Identification of *Sporothrix schenckii* based on sequences of the chitin synthase 1 gene. Mycoses 44:261–265.
- Liu X, et al. 2003. Characterization of *Sporothrix schenckii* by random amplification of polymorphic DNA assay. Chin. Med. J. 116:239–242.
- 11. Lopez-Romero E, et al. 2011. Sporothrix schenckii complex and sporotrichosis, an emerging health problem. Future Microbiol. 6:85–102.

- 12. Madrid H, et al. 2009. *Sporothrix globosa*, a pathogenic fungus with widespread geographical distribution. Rev. Iberoam. Micol. 26:218–222.
- Marimon R, et al. 2007. Sporothrix brasiliensis, S. globosa, and S. mexicana, three new Sporothrix species of clinical interest. J. Clin. Microbiol. 45:3198–3206.
- 14. Marimon R, Gene J, Cano J, Guarro J. 2008. Sporothrix luriei: a rare fungus from clinical origin. Med. Mycol. 46:621–625.
- McClelland M, Petersen C, Welsh J. 1992. Length polymorphisms in tRNA intergenic spacers detected by using the polymerase chain reaction can distinguish streptococcal strains and species. J. Clin. Microbiol. 30: 1499–1504.
- Meyer W, Maszewska K, Sorrell TC. 2001. PCR fingerprinting: a convenient molecular tool to distinguish between *Candida dubliniensis* and *Candida albicans*. Med. Mycol. 39:185–193.
- O'Donnell K, Aoki NHT, Cigelnik E. 2000. A multigene phylogeny of the Gibberella fujikuroi species complex: detection of additional phylogenetically distinct species. Mycoscience 41:61–78.
- Oliveira MME, et al. 2010. Sporotrichosis caused by Sporothrix globosa in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil: case report. Mycopathologia 169:359–363.
- Oliveira MME, Almeida-Paes R, Muniz MM, Gutierrez-Galhardo MC, Zancope-Oliveira RM. 2011. Phenotypic and molecular identification of *Sporothrix* isolates from an epidemic area of sporotrichosis in Brazil. Mycopathologia 172:257–267.
- Otto TD, et al. 2008. ChromaPipe: a pipeline for analysis, quality control and management for a DNA sequencing facility. Genet. Mol. Res. 7:861– 871.
- Queiroz-Telles F, Nucci M, Colombo AL, Tobon A, Restrepo A. 2011. Mycoses of implantation in Latin America: an overview of epidemiology, clinical manifestations, diagnosis and treatment. Med. Mycol. 49:225– 236.
- Ramos-e-Silva M, Vasconcelos C, Carneiro S, Cestari T. 2007. Sporotrichosis. Clin. Dermatol. 25:181–187.
- Schubach A, Barros MB, Wanke B. 2008. Epidemic sporotrichosis. Curr. Opin. Infect. Dis. 21:129–133.
- Thanos M, et al. 1996. Rapid identification of *Candida* species by DNA fingerprinting with PCR. J. Clin. Microbiol. 34:615–621.
- Woods JP, Kersulyte D, Goldman WE, Berg DE. 1993. Fast DNA isolation from *Histoplasma capsulatum*: methodology for arbitrary primer polymerase chain reaction-based epidemiological and clinical studies. J. Clin. Microbiol. 31:463–464.