Treatment of cutaneous leishmaniasis with intralesional meglumine antimoniate at a primary care unit in Brazil

Tratamento da leishmaniose cutânea com antimoniato de meglumina intralesional em uma unidade básica de saúde

Maria Cristina de Oliveira Duque¹, José Jayme Quintão², Luciene Fernandes Gonçalves³, Cléria Gomes⁴, Hugo Leonardo de Almeida⁵, Eunice Silva Silveira⁶, Adriene Paiva Araújo⁷, Marcelo Rosandiski Lyra⁸, Maria Inês Fernandes Pimentel⁹, Érica de Camargo Ferreira e Vasconcellos¹⁰, Maurício Naoto Sheki¹¹, Mauro Celio de Almeida Marzochi¹², Claudia Maria Valete-Rosalino¹³, Armando de Oliveira Schubach¹⁴

Resumo

O antimoniato de meglumina (AM) intralesional (IL), por via subcutânea, é recomendado para o tratamento da leishmaniose cutânea (LC). A frequente falta de recursos nas unidades básicas de saúde costuma dificultar o monitoramento de efeitos adversos, o manuseio de comorbidades e o uso de medicamentos de segunda linha. São relatados seis casos de LC tratados com AM IL (devido a contraindicações ou efeitos adversos ao AM por via sistêmica) em uma unidade básica de saúde. As infiltrações com AM IL foram administradas quinzenalmente até a epitelização das lesões cutâneas, que ocorreu em todos os casos. Eczema local de intensidade moderada foi o único efeito adverso observado em um paciente. O AM IL se revelou um tratamento simples, eficiente e seguro para LC.

240

- 3. Médica Otorrinilaringologista. Secretaria Municipal de Saúde, Timóteo, Minas Gerais
- 4. Bioquímica. Secretaria Municipal de Saúde, Timóteo, Minas Gerais
- 5. Farmacêutico. Secretaria Municipal de Saúde, Timóteo, Minas Gerais
- 6. Enfermeira. Secretaria Municipal de Saúde, Timóteo, Minas Gerais

8. Médico Dermatologista. Instituto Nacional de Infectologia Evandro Chagas. Laboratório de Pesquisa Clínica e Vigilância em Leishmanioses. Fiocruz

9. Médica Dermatologista. Instituto Nacional de Infectologia Evandro Chagas. Laboratório de Pesquisa Clínica e Vigilância em Leishmanioses. Fiocruz

E-mail do primeiro autor: dermato2@gmail.com Recebido em 14/08/2017

^{1.} Médica Dermatologista, Mestre em Pesquisa Clínica. Instituto Nacional de Infectologia Evandro Chagas. Fiocruz

^{2.} Médico Cardiologista. Secretaria Municipal de Saúde, Timóteo, Minas Gerais

^{7.} Assitente Social. Secretaria Municipal de Saúde, Timóteo, Minas Geraise

^{10.} Médica Dermatologista. Instituto Nacional de Infectologia Evandro Chagas. Laboratório de Pesquisa Clínica e Vigilância em Leishmanioses. Fiocruz

^{11.} Médica Infectologista. Instituto Nacional de Infectologia Evandro Chagas. Laboratório de Pesquisa Clínica e Vigilância em Leishmanioses. Fiocruz

^{12.} Médico, Parasitologista, PhD. Instituto Nacional de Infectologia Evandro Chagas. Laboratório de Pesquisa Clínica e Vigilância em Leishmanioses. Fiocruz

^{13.} Médica Otorrinolaringologista. Instituto Nacional de Infectologia Evandro Chagas. Laboratório de Pesquisa Clínica e Vigilância em Leishmanioses. Fiocruz

^{14.} Médico. Instituto Nacional de Infectologia Evandro Chagas. Laboratório de Pesquisa Clínica e Vigilância em Leishmanioses. Fiocruz

Palavras-chaves: Leishmaniose cutânea, tratamento farmacológico, antimônio

Abstract

Intralesional (IL) meglumine antimoniate (MA) is recommended for cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL) treatment. The lack of resources in primary health care units usually hinders monitoring of adverse events, handling of comorbidities, and use of second-line drugs. We report six CL patients treated with subcutaneous IL MA (due to contraindication or adverse effects to systemic MA) in a primary health care unit. The procedure was repeated every two weeks up to the epithelialization of the lesion. All treated lesions epithelialized. The only adverse effect was moderate local eczema. IL MA treatment seems to be a simple, efficient and safe therapy for CL.

Keywords: Leishmaniasis, Cutaneous; meglumine antimoniate; injections, intralesional

Introduction

For decades, World Health Organization (WHO) has recommended the use of systemic pentavalent antimonials for the treatment of CL. However, intralesional injections of meglumine antimoniate (IL MA) is a longaccepted modality for the treatment of cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL) in the Old World.¹ In 2010, a committee of experts on leishmaniasis recognized that CL is not a lifethreatening condition, that severe complications are rare, and that the evolution to the mucosal form is limited to a few cases. WHO has therefore recommended that treatment for CL should not induce lifethreatening complications, and local and safer treatments should be preferred.¹ In 2013, the Pan American Health Organization (PAHO) also recommended IL MA treatment for CL.² PAHO recommendations emphasize the need to incorporate scientific data presented by

each country into national control programs, and to consider variables such as the patient access to health services.²

In the New World, IL MA treatment was sometimes rejected in the past because of the possible risk of the development of mucosal leishmaniasis.^{1,3} Despite that, based on successful reports of intralesional treatment in the Old World, IL MA treatment was introduced at the National Institute of Infectious Diseases (NIID), Oswaldo Cruz Foundation (Fiocruz), in Rio de Janeiro city, in the 1980s. We initially employed IL MA for the treatment of patients who could not receive systemic treatment with this medication. We subsequently extended its use to a greater number of patients who were followed up for a period up to 10 years without the development of mucosal lesions.4-6

We recently demonstrated the standard method of IL MA treatment for CL developed in Rio de Janeiro.⁷ Briefly, MA is injected subcutaneously with a volume necessary to infiltrate the base of the lesion, leaving it raised and swollen (generally 5-20mL). There is no restriction for patients with more than one cutaneous lesion, of any size or location. However, anatomical peculiarities such as the presence of vessels, nerves and noble structures must be considered. A favorable therapeutic response after 1-3 IL MA infiltrations is expected. If we do not achieve epithelialization of the lesions total approximately two weeks after the first IL MA infiltration, a second one is indicated. When total epithelialization is not observed approximately one month after the beginning of the treatment, a third and last infiltration is indicated. The patient is then reexamined every two weeks, and progression towards epithelialization is observed for as many as months 120 days. In the following epithelialization, we expect the successive disappearance of the crusts. scales. infiltration, and erythema to complete the cure process. Treatment is restarted using the same protocol if continuous progression to complete healing does not occur.⁶⁻⁷

The Brazilian Ministry of Health added in 2017 the IL MA treatment as a recommendation for CL and adopted the technique standardized at the NIID, with minor adaptations to PAHO recommendations. Accordingly, the use of this technique was enabled in single lesions, up to 3 cm in the greatest diameter, and at any location except head and periarticular regions.^{2,7-8}

In Brazil, meglumine antimoniate 1.5 g (Sanofi Aventis Farmacêutica Ltda, São Paulo, Brazil) is presented in ampoules of 5 mL containing 405 mg Sb⁵⁺, and distributed free of charge within the public health network. The effectiveness of systemic treatment with MA is usually around 70%.⁶ Clinical, electrocardiographic, and laboratory adverse effects of varying intensities are common and require close monitoring during administration.^{1,6} Occasionally, treatment should be discontinued due to electrocardiographic changes or renal. hepatic, or pancreatic toxicity. The secondchoice drugs. amphotericin В and pentamidine, are indicated for patients over 50 years old, with poor response or contraindication to systemic MA. These drugs are also parenteral and similarly toxic.⁸ Patients with CL are ordinarily treated at primary health care units where the lack of resources hinders the handling of comorbidities, the monitoring of adverse events, and the use of second-line drugs. In these field conditions, simpler and safer treatment schemes to CL, such as IL MA, are desirable. However, there is lack of reports of

treatments with IL MA in primary health care units.

We report the successful treatment with IL MA in six patients with CL, in a primary health care unit, due to contraindication or adverse effects to systemic treatment with MA.

Case Report

Six patients (three men and three women), aged between 23 and 77 years, were treated with IL MA in a primary care unit in Timóteo municipality, Minas Gerais State, Brazil, between December, 2011 and October, 2015.

Patients presented with one or two cutaneous lesions (time of disease progression one to four months), measuring between 0.5 and 3.5 cm. In two patients, lesions were located in the head (**Table 1**). In all lesions, the direct smear examination was positive for *Leishmania* amastigotes. Five of the patients presented a positive result in Montenegro skin test, with a diameter equal or superior to 10 mm, and one presented a negative result.

Two elderly patients had a contraindication to systemic treatment with MA. The other four patients were treated without success, up to 4 months before, with systemic MA 10 mg Sb⁵⁺ / kg of body weight / day for 20 days intravenously. These four

patients had a moderate to severe increase in serum amylase or lipase. In addition, two of them were elderly and two refused to repeat treatment with systemic MA (**Table 1**).

The protocol adopted for treatment with IL MA was the same used in Rio de Janeiro.⁷ Infiltration of IL MA was performed without anesthesia on five patients, who reported mild to moderate pain. One patient required local anesthesia with 1% lidocaine. Patients received one to four infiltrations with IL MA. No laboratory adverse effects (complete blood count, aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase, alkaline phosphatase, gammaglutamyltransferase, lipase, urea, creatinine, glucose and potassium levels in peripheral blood samples) or electrocardiographic changes were observed. One patient presented moderate local eczema, which responded to corticotherapy. There topical was no worsening of baseline levels of amylase or No patient needed serum lipase. to discontinue treatment with IL MA. All six patients were followed from two up to six months after epithelialization of the lesions. All six patients were instructed to return to the primary health care unit in case of worsening of the lesions thereafter, but none returned.

Duque MCO, Quintão JJ, Gonçalves LF, Gomes C, Almeida HL, Silveira ES, et al.

Intralesional meglumine antimoniate - relato de caso

Table 1 - Demographic, clinical and treatment characteristics of six patients with cutaneous leishmaniasis treated with intralesional meglumine antimoniate at a primary health care unit in the municipality of Timóteo, Minas Gerais State, Brazil (2011-2015)

Gender / age (years)	Body weight (kg)	Number of cutaneous lesions	Larger diameter of lesions (cm)	Location of lesions	Indication for IL MA	Associated factors	Number of IL MA applications	Average volume (mL) per IL MA application	Epitelization time after start IL MA (weeks)
M / 61	77	2	1.5/0.5	head/shoulder	No response to systemic MA	Refused systemic MA retreatment	2	10*	3,5
M / 23	77	2	3.5/2	leg/head	No response to systemic MA	Hyperamylasemia 2,2x ULN	1	5*	2
M / 36	120	1	2	leg	No response to systemic MA	Refused systemic MA retreatment	2	7,5	6
F / 60	60	1	3	lower back	Systemic MA contraindicated	hyperamylasemia 1,5x ULN and hyperlipasemia 3,1x ULN	2	7,5	3
F / 65	58	1	3.5	leg	No response to systemic MA	hyperlipasemia 14,2x ULN + severe myalgia	4	10	5
F / 77	74	1	1.5	leg	Systemic MA contraindicated	hyperamylasemia 1,5x ULN + Refused systemic MA	2	5	4,5

Key: M = male; F = female; MA = meglumine antimoniate; IL = intralesional; Systemic $MA = 10mg \text{ Sb}^{5+}/\text{Kg}$ body weight/day for 20 days by intravenous route; ULN = upper limit of normality

* Average volume infiltrated in both lesions (total)

Duque MCO, Quintão JJ, Gonçalves LF, Gomes C, Almeida HL, Silveira ES, et al. Intralesional meglumine antimoniate – relato de caso



Figure 1 - A 65-year-old woman with leg ulcer, 3.5 cm in diameter, with severe hyperlipasemia and myalgia after systemic MA: a) before and b) after 4 infiltrations of intralesional MA

Discussion

We report six patients successfully treated with IL MA in a primary health care unit. Ordinarily, all of these patients should have been treated in a secondary or tertiary health care unit with second-line drugs (Amphotericin B or Pentamidine), which are difficult to administer and monitor in primary health care units. In addition, in the interior of Brazil, secondary or tertiary care units are usually located at long distances from patients' homes and often in other municipalities. We highlight that, due to the characteristics of their cutaneous lesions (more than one lesion, diameter > 3 cm or

head location), five of these six patients presented contraindications to IL MA according to OPAS or the Brazilian Ministry of Health recommendations.^{2,8} However, such characteristics did not hinder epithelization nor did they result in treatment complications. Successful treatment with IL MA in patients with similar characteristics, that would contraindicate IL MA treatment, has been reported in other New World clinical series.^{4,5,9-11} This suggests that there is no evidence scientific to support such contraindications for IL MA treatment for New World CL.

Hyperlipasemia and / or hyperamylasemia were involved in the indication of treatment with IL MA in four out of the six reported patients. We highlight that in none of these cases did serum amylase or lipase levels worsen with IL AM. In a clinical trial,¹²⁻¹³ the pancreatic toxicity by systemic MA was more frequent with 20 mg Sb⁵⁺ / kg of body weight / day compared to 5 mg mg Sb⁵⁺ / kg of body weight / day.

Five out of the six patients treated with IL MA reported only mild to moderate discomfort without the need for local anesthesia. Other authors also reported mild to moderate local discomfort with IL AM.^{4,5,9-}^{11,14} However, it was reported that IL MA may cause relevant discomfort.¹

We observed local eczema that progressed from mild to moderate after two infiltrations with IL MA in a patient who had not received previous treatment with systemic AM. Local eczema with varying levels of severity in patients subjected or not to prior systemic treatment with MA has been described.^{10,15}

In the present study, it was not possible to follow the patients up longer than six months after the epithelialization of the lesions. It is common for patients with CL to abandon follow-up after wound healing, due to financial and transportation difficulties. However, we expected them to return if the lesions worsened, as it occurred after the therapeutic failure with systemic MA. In previous studies conducted at NIID, IL MA treated patients were followed up to ten years, with no recurrence or development of mucosal lesions.^{4-5,11}

Conclusions

The series of cases here reported suggests that treatment of CL with IL MA is simple, efficient and safe, even if the lesions are larger than 3 cm, if the patient presents with more than one lesion, or if they are in the head. Furthermore, this treatment protocol may be used in primary health care units for the treatment of patients with contraindication or intolerance to systemic MA.

The here described series of cases have led to an ongoing study at this same primary health care unit, with a larger number of patients, and one year of follow-up, including the evaluation of mucous membranes, thereby contributing to the establishment of IL MA as a suitable treatment for CL in the New World.

References

1. WHO - Word Health Organization. Control of the leishmaniasis: report of a meeting of the WHO Expert Committee on the Control of Leishmaniases. WHO technical report series No. 949. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2010. 186pp. Available from: http://www.who.int/neglected_diseases/integr ated_media/integrated_media_2010_leishman iasis_2/en/

2. OPS - Organización Panamericana de la Salud. Leishmaniasis en las Américas: recomendaciones el para tratamiento. Washington, DC: OPAS: 2013. 43pp. Available from: https://www.google.com/url?q=http://www.pa ho.org/hq/index.php%3Foption%3Dcom_doc man%26task%3Ddoc view%26gid%3D2222 6%26Itemid&sa=U&ved=0ahUKEwj8mYHy wbzNAhVDGR4KHY_oACAQFggFMAA& client=internal-uds-

cse&usg=AFQjCNH7CjP3DKSlzPx0A7kQV RsFJ4q6EQ

3. Blum J, Lockwood DN, Visser L, Harms G, Bailey MS, Caumes E, et al. Local or systemic treatment for New World cutaneous leishmaniasis? Re-evaluating the evidence for the risk of mucosal leishmaniasis. Int Health. 2012; 4(3): 153-63.

4. Oliveira-Neto MP, Schubach AO, Mattos M, Gonçalves-Da-Costa SC, Pirmez C. Intralesional therapy of American cutaneous leishmaniasis with pentavalent antimony in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil - an area of *Leishmania (V.) braziliensis* transmission. Int J Dermatol. 1997; 36: 463-8.

5. Vasconcellos EC, Pimentel MI, Schubach AO, Oliveira RV, Azeredo-Coutinho RB, Conceição-Silva F, et al. Intralesional meglumine antimoniate for treatment of cutaneous leishmaniasis patients with contraindication to systemic therapy from Rio de Janeiro (2000 to 2006). Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2012; 87(2): 257-60.

6. Schubach AO, Conceição-Silva F. Estado da Arte no Tratamento da Leishmaniose Tegumentar Americana no Brasil. In: Conceição-Silva F, Alves CA. Leishmanioses do Continente Americano. 1st ed. Rio de Janeiro: Editora Fiocruz; 2014. p. 391-412.

7. Duque MC, Vasconcellos EF, Pimentel MI, Lyra MR, Bedoya-Pacheco SJ, Marzochi MC, et al. Standardization of the technique for the treatment of cutaneous leishmaniasis with meglumine antimoniate via the intralesional route. Rev Soc Bras Med Trop. 2016; 49(6): 774-6.

MS - Ministério da Saúde Brasil. 8. Secretaria de Vigilância Saúde. em Departamento de Vigilância das Doenças Transmissíveis. Manual de Vigilância da Leishmaniose Tegumentar [Internet]. Brasília: SVS/MS; 2017. 189pp. Available from: http://bvsms.saude.gov.br/bvs/publicacoes/ma nual_vigilancia_leishmaniose_tegumentar.pdf 9. Soto J, Rojas E, Guzman M, Verduguez A, Nena W, Maldonado M, et al. Intralesional Antimony for Single Lesions of Bolivian Cutaneous Leishmaniasis. Clin Infect Dis. 2013; 56: 1255-60.

10. Silva RE, Toledo Jr A, Senna MC, Rabello A, Cota G. Intralesional meglumine antimoniate for the treatment of localized cutaneous leishmaniasis: a retrospective review of a Brazilian referral centre. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz. 2016; 111(8): 512-6.

11. Pimentel MI, Vasconcellos EC, Ribeiro CO, Lyra MR, Saheki MN, Salgueiro MM, et al. Intralesional treatment with meglumine antimoniate in three patients with New World cutaneous leishmaniasis and large periarticular lesions with comorbidities. Rev Soc Bras Med Trop. 2017; 50(2): 269-72.

12. Saheki MN, Lyra MR, Bedoya-Pacheco SJ, Antônio LF, Pimentel MIF, Salgueiro MM, et al. Low versus high dose of antimony for American cutaneous leishmaniasis: A randomized controlled blind non-inferiority trial in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. PLoS ONE. 2017; 12(5): e0178592.

13. Lyra MR, Passos SR, Pimentel MI, Bedoya-Pacheco SJ, Valete-Rosalino CM,

Vasconcellos EC, et al. Pancreatic toxicity as an adverse effect induced by meglumine antimoniate therapy in a clinical trial for cutaneous leishmaniasis. Rev Inst Med Trop São Paulo. 2016; 58: 68.

14. Soto J, Paz D, Rivero D, Soto P, Quispe J, Toledo J, et al. Intralesional Pentamidine: A Novel Therapy for Single Lesions of Bolivian Cutaneous Leishmaniasis. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2016; 94(4): 852-6.

15. Vasconcellos EC, Pimentel MI, Valete-Rosalino CM, Madeira MF, Schubach AO. Resolution of cutaneous leishmaniasis after acute eczema due to intralesional meglumine antimoniate. Rev Inst Med Trop São Paulo. 2014; 56(4): 361-2.