

In Vitro, In Silico, and In Vivo Analyses of Novel Aromatic Amidines against Trypanosoma cruzi

Camila C. Santos,a Jéssica R. Lionel,a Raiza B. Peres,a Marcos M. Batista,a Patrícia B. da Silva,a Gabriel M. de Oliveira,a Cristiane F. da Silva,a Denise G. J. Batista,a Sandra Maria O. Souza,b Carolina H. Andrade,c Bruno J. Neves,c Rodolpho C. Braga,c Donald A. Patrick,d Svetlana M. Bakunova,d Richard R. Tidwell,d Maria de Nazaré C. Soeiroa

aLaboratory of Cellular Biology (LBC), Oswaldo Cruz Institute (Fiocruz), Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Antimicrobial Agents

MICROBIOLOGY **and Chemotherapy**

AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR

^bLaboratory of Structural Biology (LBE), Oswaldo Cruz Institute (Fiocruz), Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

c Laboratory for Molecular Modeling and Drug Design (LabMol), Faculdade de Farmácia, Universidade Federal de Goiás, Goiânia, Brazil

^dDepartment of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA

ABSTRACT Five bis-arylimidamides were assayed as anti-Trypanosoma cruzi agents by in vitro, in silico, and in vivo approaches. None were considered to be pan-assay interference compounds. They had a favorable pharmacokinetic landscape and were active against trypomastigotes and intracellular forms, and in combination with benznidazole, they gave no interaction. The most selective agent (28SMB032) tested in vivo led to a 40% reduction in parasitemia (0.1 mg/kg of body weight/5 days intraperitoneally) but without mortality protection. In silico target fishing suggested DNA as the main target, but ultrastructural data did not match.

KEYWORDS Chagas disease, arylimidamides, experimental chemotherapy, in vivo

A ccording to the World Health Organization [\(1\)](#page-6-0), more than 6 million people world-
wide are infected with *Trypanosoma cruzi*, the etiological agent of Chagas disease (CD), and less than 1% are treated with the current available drugs, nifurtimox and benznidazole (Bz), which exhibit several limitations, including low efficacy at the later disease stage and toxic events [\(2,](#page-6-1) [3\)](#page-6-2). There is an urgent need to develop alternatives for the treatment of this silent and progressive neglected agent of disease. Aromatic diamidines have antitumor and broad-spectrum antimicrobial activities, and some of their analogues, such as arylimidamides (AIAs), have greater potency and selectivity against intracellular parasites [\(4\)](#page-6-3). Thus, our aim was to evaluate the biological effects of five novel bis-AIAs [\(Table 1\)](#page-1-0) against T. cruzi by integrating in vitro, in silico, and in vivo tools. 2EVK008, 36DAP015, 27SMB078, 28SMB032, and 31DAP069 were synthesized (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material) as reported previously [\(5](#page-6-4)[–](#page-6-5)[8\)](#page-6-6), and experimental details are provided in the supplemental material.

The first evaluation of bloodstream trypomastigotes (BTs) from the Y strain (discrete typing unit II [DTU II]) showed that 4 out of 5 compounds are more active than Bz after 24 h of incubation. 2EVK008, 31DAP069, and 36DAP015 also are "fast killers" (with a 50% effective concentration [EC₅₀] of $<$ 10 μ M after 2 h of incubation), displaying high potency [\(Table 1\)](#page-1-0). The activity against intracellular forms (e.g., the Tulahuen strain [DTU VI], which expresses the *Escherichia coli* β -galactosidase [β -Gal] gene) demonstrated that 2EVK008, 28SMB032, 36DAP015, and 31DAP069 have EC_{50} s lower than that of Bz [\(Table 1\)](#page-1-0). 31DAP069 and 36DAP015 exhibit considerable mammalian host cell toxicity (with a 50% lethal concentration [LC₅₀] ranging between 9 and 12 μ M against mouse L929 fibroblasts), while 28SMB032 is less toxic according to alamarBlue assays [\(9\)](#page-6-7) and exhibits high selectivity indexes (SIs) of >54 and 285 for BT and intracellular forms, respectively. The trypanocidal effect of 28SMB032 was confirmed toward different **Received** 26 October 2017 **Accepted** 20 November 2017

Accepted manuscript posted online 4 December 2017

Citation Santos CC, Lionel JR, Peres RB, Batista MM, da Silva PB, de Oliveira GM, da Silva CF, Batista DGJ, Souza SMO, Andrade CH, Neves BJ, Braga RC, Patrick DA, Bakunova SM, Tidwell RR, Soeiro MDNC. 2018. In vitro, in silico, and in vivo analyses of novel aromatic amidines against Trypanosoma cruzi. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 62:e02205-17. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02205-17) [.1128/AAC.02205-17.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02205-17)

Copyright © 2018 American Society for Microbiology. [All Rights Reserved.](https://doi.org/10.1128/ASMCopyrightv2)

Address correspondence to Maria de Nazaré C. Soeiro, [soeiro@ioc.fiocruz.br.](mailto:soeiro@ioc.fiocruz.br)

Downloaded from http://aac.asm.org/ on September 11, 2018 by guest Downloaded from <http://aac.asm.org/> on September 11, 2018 by guest

$\mathbf A$	T. cruzi Y strain	$\rm CC$	SI 48 h
	$EC_{50} (\mu M)^{a} 48 h$	$LC_{50} (\mu M)^{a} 48 h$	
28SMB032	$1.59(1.21-2.09)$	304.4 (240.4-385.5)	191.44
$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{z}$	$1.58(1.03 - 2.43)$	>1000	633
		C	

FIG 1 (A) In vitro activities (EC₅₀s) and selectivity indices (SI) of 28SMB032 (A and C) and benznidazole (A and D) against intracellular forms of T. cruzi and the respective LC_{50} upon primary cardiac cell (CC) cultures. (B to D) Light microscopy images of CC cultures infected with T. cruzi (Y strain) untreated (B) or exposed to 1.25 μ M of 28SMB032 (C) and benznidazole (D). Original magnification, 215. Values in parentheses are standard errors of the means for 95% confidence intervals.

parasite forms and strains. It is as active (EC₅₀, 1.59 μ M; SI = 200) as Bz in cardiac cell cultures infected with the Y strain, and the Giemsa readout by light microscopy showed a trypanocidal effect rather than a trypanostatic effect, important to avoid parasite relapses [\(Fig. 1](#page-2-0) and [Table 1\)](#page-1-0) [\(10,](#page-6-8) [11\)](#page-6-9). The AIA combination with Bz assessed using a fixed-ratio method [\(12\)](#page-6-10) gave a mean sum of fractional inhibitory concentration indexes $(x\Sigma$ FICI) of 0.95, conferring a status of no interaction (Table S1).

In silico adsorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion, and toxicity (ADMET) analysis and analysis with pan-assay interference compounds (PAINS) were done under different Web services: those of admetSAR [\(http://lmmd.ecust.edu.cn:8000\)](http://lmmd.ecust.edu.cn:8000), ACD/I-Lab, Pred-hERG [\(http://labmol.com.br/predherg/\)](http://labmol.com.br/predherg/), PROTOX [\(http://tox.charite.de/tox/\)](http://tox.charite.de/tox/), and False Positive Remover [\(13](#page-6-11)[–](#page-7-0)[21\)](#page-7-1). All compounds were predicted to be noncarcinogenic, nongenotoxic, non-human-ERG (hERG) blockers, and noninhibitors of most cytochrome P450 isoforms, besides having acceptable rodent toxicity by the oral route, moderate human intestinal absorption, and a favorable volume of distribution (Table S2). None contain PAINS substructures, and consequently, there is little probability that their biological activities are artifacts; they thus have a low probability of binding/ inhibiting nonspecific targets [\(22\)](#page-7-2).

For in silico prediction, a pool of potential targets in T. cruzi was generated. First, all three-dimensional (3D) structures available in the Protein Data Bank (PDB; [http://www](http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/) [.rcsb.org/pdb/\)](http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/) with cocrystallized ligands were selected. Then, a bibliographic search with similar bioisosteric characteristics of the studied amidines was performed. Based on the concept that similar ligands bind to similar targets, three targets involved in the metabolism of putrescine or spermidine [\(23\)](#page-7-3) and four known targets of pentamidine and analogues were identified. Homology models were built for trypanothione synthetase, POT1.1, POT1.2, and aquaporin (Fig. S2; Table S3). They were validated statistically using PROCHECK analysis (Fig. S3) [\(24\)](#page-7-4). The overall stereochemical properties of the generated models are highly reliable and useful for a prospective target-fishing study. The final target pool is summarized in Table S4 and consisted of 30 potential targets, including enzymes and regulatory proteins. Molecular docking studies [\(Table 2\)](#page-3-0) showed by the GlideScore energies the AIAs' high affinity to DNA, with energies ranging between -12.08 and -9.62 kcal/mol, which is next to that of pentamidine (11.17 kcal/mol), a well-known DNA binder. Following in sequence of binding energy values were farnesyltransferase (PFT), spermidine synthase (SdpS), sterol 14-alpha demethylase (CYP51), and UDP-galactopyranose mutase (UGM). To explore earlier cellular insults induced by the AIAs, ultrastructural analysis was done on BT forms exposed for 2 h (using the EC_{50} from 24 h) with the best fast killers, 31DAP069 and

TABLE 2 Docking score results of amidines with T. cruzi targets

^aBold values indicate the most probable targets according to score energies.

36DAP015, besides the most selective agent, 28SMB032. Scanning electron microscopy showed that 31DAP069 and 36DAP015 did not induce morphological modifications [\(Fig. 2B](#page-4-0) and [C\)](#page-4-0). Although most BTs exposed to 28SMB032 exhibited a profile similar to that of untreated ones [\(Fig. 2A1](#page-4-0) and [A2\)](#page-4-0), some morphological alterations included shortening [\(Fig. 2D1](#page-4-0) and [D4\)](#page-4-0) and twisting [\(Fig. 2D2](#page-4-0) and [D3\)](#page-4-0) of the parasite bodies. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) revealed that untreated parasites presented characteristic morphology [\(Fig. 3A1](#page-5-0) and [A2\)](#page-5-0). BTs exposed to amidines exhibited similar cellular alterations regardless of the in vitro potency (EC_{50} s), including distension of the flagellar pocket, plasmatic and nuclear membrane dilation, distortions of the Golgi apparatus, the presence of concentric membranes and myelin figures, a large number of intracellular vesicles, and an altered profile of the endoplasmic reticulum surrounding cytoplasmic components [\(Fig. 3B1](#page-5-0) to [D8\)](#page-5-0), suggestive of an autophagy phenotype that deserves further analysis.

Literature data demonstrate that classical amidines, such as pentamidine [\(25\)](#page-7-5) and some analogues [\(26\)](#page-7-6), strongly interact with DNA in a binding mode very similar to those of hydrophobic methylene groups and with rings tightly bound to the minor groove. Additionally, findings revealed that although some of the most active AIAs were not good DNA binders, some AIAs strongly altered DNA topology, impairing functionality [\(27\)](#page-7-7). Even the fast and potent AIAs did not induce ultrastructural alterations of the DNA, and it may be possible that TEM is not sensitive enough for detecting minor topology alterations detected in silico and/or that there is a lack of translation among in silico and whole-cell-based assays. In order to deeply explore the binding mode and effect, thermodynamic studies are needed. Plus, the observed in vitro activity can involve other predicted targets, such as UGM, CYP51, PFT, and SdpS, related to T. cruzi fitness and pathogenesis; some of their inhibitors, such as the CYP51 inhibitors

FIG 2 Scanning electron microscopy examination of T. cruzi bloodstream trypomastigotes (Y strain). (A) Control with no compound exposure. Treatment with 0.88 μ M 31DAP069 (B), 0.35 μ M 36DAP015 (C), and 10.48 μ M 28SMB032 (D) resulted in no morphological alteration for the parasites shown in panel B or C, but the parasites in panels D1 to D4 exhibited body retraction, and those in panels D2 and D3 exhibited a twist of the parasite body. Bars = 1 μ m.

recently assayed in clinical trials for the chronic stage of CD, are considered anti-T. cruzi drug candidates [\(28\)](#page-7-8), but again, their potency in preclinical studies did not translate into clinical outcomes [\(29,](#page-7-9) [30\)](#page-7-10).

Our findings corroborate previous studies related to the potent in vitro activities of

FIG 3 Ultrastructural effects of AIAs in T. cruzi bloodstream trypomastigotes (Y strain). (A1 and A2) Controls with no compound exposure display the characteristic morphology. Treatment with 0.88 μ M 31DAP069 (panels B), 0.35 μ M 36DAP015 (panels C), and 10.48 µM 28SMB032 (panels D) resulted in several insults, including dilatation of the flagellar pocket (black star in panels B1, C4, and D6), concentric membranar structures and myelin figures in the cytosol (black arrows in panels B2, B3, C3, and D4), disruption of the Golgi apparatus (asterisk in panels C1 and D7), an endoplasmic reticulum surrounding cytosolic structures (arrowheads in panels C2 and D3), and detachment of the nuclear (short arrow in panel D8) and plasma (short arrow in panel D6) membranes. No alterations were detected in subpellicular microtubules (thin arrows in panel D5) and on the parasite kinetoplast DNA (kDNA) (double arrows in panels D1 and D2). G, Golgi cisternae; M, mitochondria; N, nuclei; F, flagellum. $Bars = 500$ nm.

AIAs against intracellular trypanosomatids meeting the expectations of a hit compound (an EC₅₀ of $<$ 10 μ M and an SI of at least 10) [\(31\)](#page-7-11) and having a favorable pharmacokinetic profile. All of this background encouraged us to conduct in vivo assays. 28SMB032 was moved to an in vivo assay using a mouse (male Swiss Webster, 18 to 20 g) model of acute infection (104 BTs from the Y strain) under different compound concentrations (10 to 0.1 mg/kg of body weight) diluted with two vehicles (0.5%

carboxymethylcellulose and 10% dimethyl sulfoxide [DMSO]), given intraperitoneally (i.p.) and orally (p.o.). Animals were handled ethically (CEUA Fiocruz protocol number LW-16/14). Mice with confirmed parasitemia were treated (with single and combined therapy) at the 5th and 8th days postinfection (p.i.), which in this experimental model correspond to the times of parasitemia onset and peak, respectively [\(32\)](#page-7-12). Regardless of the vehicle, 0.1 mg/kg 28SMB032 given i.p. and p.o. did not reduce the mortality rates induced by parasite infection. 28SMB032 alone (10 and 1 mg/kg, i.p., DMSO) or combined with Bz (p.o.) showed a toxic profile, leading to $>83\%$ of the animals dying less than 24 h after drug administration. Gross pathology showed a large amount of yellow deposits of the AIA at the peritoneum as well as gastrointestinal bleeding and signs of acute renal failure with kidney atrophy (data not shown). However, this AIA, given as monotherapy at 0.1 mg/kg (i.p., with DMSO as the vehicle), led to a 40% reduction of the parasite load at the peak (8 days p.i.). Although in vitro outcomes did not fully translate in the experimental animal models, the molecule optimization may reduce the toxicity issues of this class of compounds and contribute to alternative treatments for CD.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material for this article may be found at [https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02205-17) [.02205-17.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02205-17)

SUPPLEMENTAL FILE 1, PDF file, 2.9 MB.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The present study was supported by grants from the Fundação Carlos Chagas Filho de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (FAPERJ), Conselho Nacional Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES), Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, PDTIS, PAEF/CNPq/ Fiocruz, and CAPES. We thank the Fortalecimento dos Programas de Gestão Estratégica de Pesquisa da Fiocruz Rede de Plataformas Fiocruz (grant VPPLR-001-Fio 14) and the Programa de Excelência Acadêmica (PROEX) from CAPES. M.D.N.C.S. is a research fellow of the CNPq and a CNE researcher.

REFERENCES

- 1. World Health Organization. 2013. Sustaining the drive to overcome the global impact of neglected tropical diseases: second WHO report on neglected tropical diseases. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- 2. Pecoul B, Batista C, Stobbaerts E, Ribeiro I, Vilasanjuan R, Gascon J, Pinazo MJ, Moriana S, Gold S, Pereiro A, Navarro M, Torrico F, Bottazzi MF, Hotez PJ. 2016. The BENEFIT trial: where do we go from here? PLoS Negl Trop Dis 10:e0004343. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0004343.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0004343)
- 3. Wilkinson SR, Taylor MC, Horn D, Kelly JM, Cheeseman L. 2008. A mechanism for cross-resistance to nifurtimox and benznidazole in trypanosomes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105:5022-5027. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0711014105) [.1073/pnas.0711014105.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0711014105)
- 4. Soeiro MDNC, Werbovetz K, Boykin DW, Wilson WD, Wang MZ, Hemphill A. 2013. Novel amidines and analogues as promising agents against intracellular parasites: a systematic review. Parasitology 140:929 –951. [https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182013000292.](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182013000292)
- 5. Patrick DA, Ismail MA, Arafa RK, Wenzler T, Zhu X, Pandharkar T, Jones SK, Werbovetz KA, Brun R, Boykin DW, Tidwell RR. 2013. Synthesis and antiprotozoal activity of dicationic m-terphenyl and 1,3-dipyridylbenzene derivatives. J Med Chem 56:5473–5494. [https://doi.org/10.1021/jm400508e.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jm400508e)
- 6. Wu C, Wei J, Tian D, Feng Y, Miller RH, Wang Y. 2008. Molecular probes for imaging myelinated white matter in CNS. J Med Chem 51: 6682– 6688. [https://doi.org/10.1021/jm8003637.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jm8003637)
- 7. Musante C. 1951. Some derivatives of pyrazole and isoxazole having antituberculous action. Farmaco 6:32–38.
- 8. Stephens CE, Tanious F, Kim S, Wilson WD, Schell WA, Perfect JR, Franzblau SG, Boykin DW. 2001. Diguanidino and "reversed" diamidino 2,5-diarylfurans as antimicrobial agents. J Med Chem 44:1741–1748. [https://doi.org/10.1021/jm000413a.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jm000413a)
- 9. Romanha AJ, De Castro SL, Soeiro MNC, Lannes-Vieira J, Ribeiro I, Talvani A, Bourdin B, Blum B, Olivieri B, Zani C, Spadafora C, Chiari E, Chatelain E, Chaves G, Calzada JE, Bustamante JM, Freitas-Junior LH, Romero LI, Bahia MT, Lotrowska M, Soares M, Andrade SG, Armstrong T, Degrave W, Andrade ZA. 2010. In vitro and in vivo experimental models for drug screening and development for Chagas disease. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz 105:233–238. [https://doi.org/10.1590/S0074-02762010000200022.](https://doi.org/10.1590/S0074-02762010000200022)
- 10. Ercoli N, Iudice G. 1980. Trypanostatic drug action: its relation to relapse following chemotherapy. Chemotherapy 26:218 –223. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1159/000237908) [.1159/000237908.](https://doi.org/10.1159/000237908)
- 11. Cal M, Ioset J-R, Fügi MA, Mäser P, Kaiser M. 2016. Assessing anti-T. cruzi candidates in vitro for sterile cidality. Int J Parasitol Drugs Drug Resist 6:165–170. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpddr.2016.08.003.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpddr.2016.08.003)
- 12. Fivelman QL, Adagu IS, Warhurst DC. 2004. Modified fixed-ratio isobologram method for studying in vitro interactions between atovaquone and proguanil or dihydroartemisinin against drug-resistant strains of Plasmodium falciparum. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 48:4097– 4102. [https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.48.11.4097-4102.2004.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.48.11.4097-4102.2004)
- 13. Cheng F, Li W, Zhou Y, Shen J, Wu Z, Liu G, Lee PW, Tang Y. 2012. AdmetSAR: a comprehensive source and free tool for assessment of chemical ADMET properties. J Chem Infect Model 52:3099 –3105. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1021/ci300367a) [doi.org/10.1021/ci300367a.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ci300367a)
- 14. Lagunin A, Filimonov D, Zakharov A, Xie W, Huang Y, Zhu F, Shen T, Yao J, Poroikov V. 2009. Computer-aided prediction of rodent carcinogenicity by PASS and CISOC-PSCT. QSAR Comb Sci 28:806 – 810. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1002/qsar.200860192) [.org/10.1002/qsar.200860192.](https://doi.org/10.1002/qsar.200860192)
- 15. Hansen K, Mika S, Schroeter T, Sutter A, ter Laak A, Steger-Hartmann T, Heinrich N, Müller KR. 2009. Benchmark data set for in silico prediction

of Ames mutagenicity. J Chem Infect Model 49:2077–2081. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1021/ci900161g) [.org/10.1021/ci900161g.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ci900161g)

- 16. Shen J, Cheng F, Xu Y, Li W, Tang Y. 2010. Estimation of ADME properties with substructure pattern recognition. J Chem Infect Model 50: 1034 –1041. [https://doi.org/10.1021/ci100104j.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ci100104j)
- 17. Cheng F, Yu Y, Shen J, Yang L, Li W, Liu G, Lee PW, Tang Y. 2011. Classification of cytochrome P450 inhibitors and noninhibitors using combined classifiers. J Chem Infect Model 51:996-1011. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1021/ci200028n) [10.1021/ci200028n.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ci200028n)
- 18. Braga RC, Alves VM, Silva MF, Muratov E, Fourches D, Tropsha A, Andrade CH. 2014. Tuning hERG out: antitarget QSAR models for drug development. Curr Top Med Chem 14:1399 –1415. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.2174/1568026614666140506124442) [.2174/1568026614666140506124442.](https://doi.org/10.2174/1568026614666140506124442)
- 19. Braga RC, Alves VM, Silva MFB, Muratov E, Fourches D, Lião LM, Tropsha A, Andrade CH. 2015. Pred-hERG: a novel Web-accessible computational tool for predicting cardiac toxicity. Mol Inform 34:698 –701. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1002/minf.201500040) [.org/10.1002/minf.201500040.](https://doi.org/10.1002/minf.201500040)
- 20. Drwal MN, Banerjee P, Dunkel M, Wettig MR, Preissner R. 2014. ProTox: a web server for the in silico prediction of rodent oral toxicity. Nucleic Acids Res 42:W53–W58. [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku401.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku401)
- 21. Baell JB, Holloway GA. 2010. New substructure filters for removal of pan assay interference compounds (PAINS) from screening libraries and for their exclusion in bioassays. J Med Chem 53:2719-2740. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1021/jm901137j) [10.1021/jm901137j.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jm901137j)
- 22. Biasini M, Bienert S, Waterhouse A, Arnold K, Studer G, Schmidt T, Kiefer F, Gallo Cassarino T, Bertoni M, Bordoli L, Schwede T. 2014. SWISS-MODEL: modelling protein tertiary and quaternary structure using evolutionary information. Nucleic Acids Res 42:W252–W258. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku340) [10.1093/nar/gku340.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku340)
- 23. Maya JD, Salas CO, Aguilera-Venegas B, Diaz MV, López-Muñoz R. 2014. Key proteins in the polyamine-trypanothione pathway as drug targets against Trypanosoma cruzi. Curr Med Chem 21:1757-1771. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.2174/0929867320666131119122145) [.org/10.2174/0929867320666131119122145.](https://doi.org/10.2174/0929867320666131119122145)
- 24. Laskowski RA, MacArthur MW, Moss DS, Thornton JM. 1993. PROCHECK: a program to check the stereochemical quality of protein structures. J Appl Crystallogr 26:283–291. [https://doi.org/10.1107/S0021889892009944.](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0021889892009944)
- 25. Nunn CM, Jenkins TC, Neidle S. 1993. Crystal structure of d(CGCGAATT CGCG) complexed with propamidine, a short-chain homologue of the drug pentamidine. Biochemistry 32:13838 –13843. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1021/bi00213a012) [.1021/bi00213a012.](https://doi.org/10.1021/bi00213a012)
- 26. Chai Y, Munde M, Kumar A, Mickelson L, Lin S, Campbell NH, Banerjee M, Akay S, Liu Z, Farahat AA, Nhili R, Depauw S, David-Cordonnier MH, Neidle S, Wilson WD, Boykin DW. 2014. Structure-dependent binding of arylimidamides to the DNA minor groove. Chembiochem 15:68 –79. [https://doi.org/10.1002/cbic.201300622.](https://doi.org/10.1002/cbic.201300622)
- 27. Daliry A, Pires MQ, Silva CF, Pacheco RS, Munde M, Stephens CE, Kumar A, Ismail MA, Liu Z, Farahat AA, Akay S, Som P, Hu Q, Boykin DW, Wilson WD, De Castro SL, Soeiro MDNC. 2011. The trypanocidal activity of amidine compounds does not correlate with their binding affinity to Trypanosoma cruzi kinetoplast DNA. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 55: 4765– 4773. [https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00229-11.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00229-11)
- 28. Pereira-Chioccola VL, Acosta-Serrano A, Correia de Almeida I, Ferguson MA, Souto-Padron T, Rodrigues MM, Travassos LR, Schenkman S. 2000. Mucin-like molecules form a negatively charged coat that protects Trypanosoma cruzi trypomastigotes from killing by human anti-alphagalactosyl antibodies. J Cell Sci 113:1299 –1307.
- 29. Urbina JA. 2015. Recent clinical trials for the etiological treatment of chronic Chagas disease: advances, challenges and perspectives. J Eukaryot Microbiol 62:149 –156. [https://doi.org/10.1111/jeu.12184.](https://doi.org/10.1111/jeu.12184)
- 30. Molina I, Salvador F, Sánchez-Montalvá A. 2015. The use of posaconazole against Chagas disease. Curr Opin Infect Dis 28:397– 407. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1097/QCO.0000000000000192) [10.1097/QCO.0000000000000192.](https://doi.org/10.1097/QCO.0000000000000192)
- 31. Katsuno K, Burrows JN, Duncan K, Hooft van Huijsduijnen R, Kaneko T. 2015. Hit and lead criteria in drug discovery for infectious diseases of the developing world. Nat Rev Drug Discov 14:751–758. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd4683) [.1038/nrd4683.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd4683)
- 32. Batista DDGJ, Batista MM, Oliveira GM, Amaral PB, Lanes-Vieira J, Britto C, Junqueira A, Lima MM, Romanha AJ, Sales Junior PA, Stephens CE, Boykin DW, Soeiro MDNC. 2010. Arylimidamide Db766: a potential chemotherapeutic candidate for Chagas disease treatment. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 54:2940 –2952. [https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01617-09.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01617-09)